arXiv:2405.10602v1 [math.AG] 17 May 2024

ISOMORPHISM CLASSES AND STABLY ISOMORPHISMS OF DOUBLE DANIELEWSKI VARIETIES

XIAOSONG SUN, SHUAI ZENG

ABSTRACT. The interest in Danielewski varieties arose from the study of the Cancellation Problem. In this paper, we study the isomorphism classes and stably isomorphisms of double Danielewski varieties, and show that they are counterexamples of the Cancellation Problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cancellation Problem is a central problem in affine algebraic geometry [3]. For a ring R, we denote by $R^{[n]}$ the polynomial ring over R in n variables.

Question 1.1. (Cancellation Problem) Let k be a field. If F and G are two finitely generated k-algebras such that $F^{[1]} \cong_k G^{[1]}$, then does it follow that $F \cong_k G$?

In 1989, Danielewski [1] constructed a family of two-dimensional affine domains over the complex number field \mathbb{C} which are counterexamples of the above question. For a non-constant polynomial P(z) over $k = \mathbb{C}$ with distinct roots, Danielewski considered the affine surfaces D_n defined by $x^n y -$ P(z) = 0 in \mathbb{A}^3_k . Write $A(D_n)$ for the coordinate ring of D_n . Now it is known that for any pair (m, n) with $m \neq n$, one has $D_m \ncong_k D_n$ but $D_m \times \mathbb{A}^1_k \cong_k$ $D_n \times \mathbb{A}^1_k$, equivalently, $A(D_m) \ncong_k A(D_n)$ but $A(D_m)^{[1]} \cong_k A(D_n)^{[1]}$ (cf. [4].)

In 2001, Makar-Limanov [8] determined the automorphism group of the Danielewski surface D_n by computing the Makar-Limanov invariant of D_n . When the field k is of characteristic zero, the Makar-Limanov invariant ML(X) of an affine k-variety X is the intersection of all the kernels of locally nilpotent derivations of the coordinate ring A(X).

In 2019, Gupta and Sen [7] computed the Makar-Limanov invariant and isomorphism classes of double Danielewski surfaces defined by equations of the form $\{x^d y - P(x, z) = 0, x^e t - Q(x, y, z) = 0\}$ in \mathbb{A}_k^4 , where k is a field of any characteristic, $d, e \in \mathbb{N}, P(x, z)$ is monic in z and Q(x, y, z) is monic

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14R10, 13N15.

Key words and phrases. Cancellation Problem, Danielewski varieties, ML-invariant, stably isomorphisms.

This work was supported by the NSFC (12371020, 12171194), NSFJP (20220101019JC, 20210101469JC).

in y with $\deg_z P(x, z) \ge 2$, $\deg_y Q(x, y, z) \ge 2$ and then verified that these are counterexamples to the Cancellation Problem.

In 2007, Dubouloz [2] considered a higher-dimensional analogue of Danielewski surfaces. He constructed the Danielewski varieties defined by

$$x_1^{d_1}\cdots x_n^{d_n}y - P(x_1,\ldots,x_n,z) = 0$$

in $\mathbb{A}^{n+2}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $P(x_1, \ldots, x_n, z)$ is monic in z and $\deg_z P > 1$. He proved that they are counterexamples to the Cancellation Problem. In 2023, Ghosh and Gupta [5] extended the result of Dubouloz [2] to arbitrary characteristic.

In [9], we studied a higher-dimensional analogue of the double Danielewski surfaces. More precisely, we considered a family of affine varieties $L_{[d],[e]}$ of arbitrary dimension greater or equal to 2 over a field k, defined by a pair of equations

$$\{\underline{x}^{[d]}y - P(\underline{x}, z) = 0, \underline{x}^{[e]}t - Q(\underline{x}, y, z) = 0\}$$
 in \mathbb{A}_k^{n+3} ,

where $[d], [e] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^n, \underline{x} := (x_1, \ldots, x_n), P(\underline{x}, z)$ is monic in z and $Q(\underline{x}, y, z)$ is monic in y with $\deg_z P(\underline{x}, z) \geq 2, \deg_y Q(\underline{x}, y, z) \geq 2$. We call them double Danielewski varieties. We described the Makar-Limanov invariant and locally nilpotent derivations of these varieties in that paper.

In this paper, we will investigate the double Danielewski varieties further. In Section 2, we study the isomorphism classes of these varieties, and then we study in Section 3 the stably isomorphisms of these varieties, and obtain some counterexamples of the Cancellation Problem.

2. Isomorphism classes

In this section we investigate the isomorphism classes of a family of varieties including the double Danielewski varieties.

We consider two such varieties, denoted by L and L',

$$L = \frac{k[X, Y, Z, T]}{(\underline{X}^{[d]}Y, -P(\underline{X}, Z), \underline{X}^{[e]}T - Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)),}$$
$$L' = \frac{k[X, Y, Z, T]}{(\underline{X}^{[d']}Y, -P'(\underline{X}, Z), \underline{X}^{[e']}T - Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z))}$$

where $[d], [d'], [e], [e'] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^n, \underline{X} := (X_1, \ldots, X_n), P, P' \in k[\underline{X}, Z]$ are monic polynomials in Z, and $\overline{Q}, Q' \in k[\underline{X}, Y, Z]$ are monic polynomials in Y, with $r = \deg_Z P(\underline{X}, Z), r' = \deg_Z P'(\underline{X}, Z)$ and $s = \deg_Y Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z), s' = \deg_Y Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)$. And we suppose the following conditions are satisfied.

(1)
$$either \ r \ge 2 \ and \ s \ge 2$$
$$or \ r \ge 2 \ and \ s = 1$$
$$or \ r = 1, s \ge 2 \ and \ [e] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 2}^{n}.$$

Note that if $r \ge 2$ and $s \ge 2$ (resp. $r' \ge 2$ and $s' \ge 2$) then L (resp. L') is a double Danielewski variety.

Let \underline{x}, y, z, t and $\underline{x}', y', z', t'$ denote the images of \underline{X}, Y, Z, T in L and L' respectively. By [9, Theorem 3.10], $ML(L) = k[\underline{x}], ML(L') = k[\underline{x'}]$. Let $\sigma \in S_n$ be a permutation of order *n*. Write $\sigma(x_1, \ldots, x_n) := (x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(n)}),$ $\sigma(d_1,\ldots,d_n) := (d_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,d_{\sigma(n)})$ for the σ -actions, and for $\lambda = (\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_n) \in$ k^n , we set $\lambda(\sigma(\underline{x})) := (\lambda_1 x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, \lambda_n x_{\sigma(n)})$. The notation $[e] \pm 1$ means to plus or minus 1 in components of [e], and $\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}$ means $\underline{X} \setminus \{X_i\}$.

Theorem 2.1. $L \cong L'$ if and only if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{d'_1, \ldots, d'_n\};$
- (2) $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_n\};$ (3) r = r', s = s';

(4) There exist $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in (k^*)^n, \ \gamma \in k^*, \ \sigma \in S_n, \ \varrho(\underline{X}) \in k[\underline{X}],$ $f(X,Z) \in k[X,Z]$ and $h(X,Y,Z) \in k[X,Y,Z]$ such that

- (i) $P'(\lambda(\sigma(\underline{X})), \gamma Z + \varrho(\underline{X})) = \tau P(\underline{X}, Z) + \underline{X}^{[d]} f(\underline{X}, Z), \text{ where } \tau =$ $\gamma^r (\in k^*)$. In particular, $P'(\underline{0}, \gamma Z + \varrho(\underline{0})) = \tau P(\underline{0}, Z)$.
- (ii) $Q'(\lambda(\sigma(\underline{X})), \upsilon Y + g(\underline{X}, Z), \gamma \overline{Z} + \varrho(\underline{X})) = \kappa Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z) + \underline{X}^{[e]}h(\underline{X}, Y, Z),$ where $\upsilon = \lambda^{[-\sigma(d)]}\tau, \kappa = \upsilon^s$ and $g(\underline{X}, Z) = \lambda^{[-\sigma(d)]}f(\underline{X}, Z)$. In particular, $Q'(\underline{0}, \upsilon Y + g(\underline{0}, Z), \gamma Z + \varrho(\underline{0})) = \kappa Q(\underline{0}, Y, Z).$

Moreover, if $\psi: L' \to L$ is an isomorphism, then

$$\begin{split} \psi(x_1') &= \lambda_1 x_{\sigma(1)} \\ \psi(x_2') &= \lambda_2 x_{\sigma(2)} \\ &\vdots \\ \psi(x_n') &= \lambda_n x_{\sigma(n)} \\ \psi(z') &= \gamma z + \varrho(\underline{x}), \\ \psi(y') &= \upsilon y + g(\underline{x}, z) \text{ and } \psi(t') = \lambda^{[-\sigma([e])]}(\kappa t + h(\underline{x}, y, z)). \end{split}$$

Proof. Let $\psi: L \to L'$ be a k-algebra isomorphism. Replacing L by $\psi(L)$, we may assume that L = L' = M. By Theorem 3.10 of [9], $ML(M) = k[\underline{x}] =$ k[x'] and hence

$$x'_{1} = L_{1} + \mu_{1}$$
$$x'_{2} = L_{2} + \mu_{2}$$
$$\vdots$$
$$x'_{n} = L_{n} + \mu_{n}$$

where L_1, \ldots, L_n are linear forms on x_1, \ldots, x_n , and $\mu_i \in k[\underline{x}], i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ have no linear terms and $k(\underline{x})[z] = k(\underline{x}')[z']$. Since $M \cap k(\underline{x}) = k[\underline{x}]$, we have, $z' = \gamma z + \rho$ for some $\gamma(x), \rho(x) \in k[x]$. Using symmetry, we obtain that $\gamma(\underline{x}) \in k^*$, i.e.,

(2)
$$z' = \gamma z + \varrho(\underline{x})$$

for some $\gamma \in k^*, \varrho(\underline{x}) \in k[\underline{x}]$. Hence,

(3)
$$k[\underline{x}, z] = k[\underline{x}', z'].$$

As $y' \in M \subseteq k[\underline{x}, \underline{x}^{-1}, z]$, there exists $[s] = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $\underline{x}^{[s]}y' \in k[\underline{x}, z]$. Since

$$P'(\underline{x}', z') = \underline{x}'^{[d']} y' = (L_1 + \mu_1)^{d'_1} \cdots (L_n + \mu_n)^{d'_n} y',$$

we have $\underline{x}^{[s]}P'(\underline{x}', z') \in (L_1 + \mu_1)^{d'_1} \cdots (L_n + \mu_n)^{d'_n} k[\underline{x}, z]$. As $P'(\underline{X}, Z)$ is monic in Z, we deduce that $\mu_i = 0, i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and

(4)
$$\begin{aligned} x_1' &= \lambda_1 x_{\sigma(1)} \\ x_2' &= \lambda_2 x_{\sigma(2)} \\ \vdots \\ x_n' &= \lambda_n x_{\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma \in S_n, \lambda_i \in k^*$ for $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Now we show that $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{d'_1, \ldots, d'_n\}$. Denote the maximum element in $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n, d'_1, \ldots, d'_n\}$ by k and let $[K] = (k, \ldots, k)$. Using (3) and (4), we have $\underline{x}^{[K]}M \cap k[\underline{x}, z] = \underline{x}'^{[K]}M \cap k[\underline{x}', z']$, i.e.,

$$(\underline{x}^{[K]}, \underline{x}^{([K]-[d])}P(\underline{x}, z))k[\underline{x}, z] = (\underline{x}'^{[K]}, \underline{x}'^{([K]-[d'])}, P'(\underline{x}', z'))k[\underline{x}', z'].$$

where $x_1^{k-d_1} \cdots x_n^{k-d_n}P(x, z) = x^{[K]}q_1(x', z') + x_1^{(k-d'_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})} \cdots x_n^{(k-d'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})}$

Therefore $x_1^{k-d_1} \cdots x_n^{k-d_n} P(\underline{x}, z) = \underline{x}^{[K]} g_1(\underline{x}', z') + x_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)'} \cdots g_2(\underline{x}', z')$, where $g_1(\underline{x}', z'), g_2(\underline{x}', z') \in k[\underline{x}', z']$. It follows that

$$k - d_i \le k - d'_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$$

as $P(\underline{X}, Z)$ is monic in Z. By symmetry, we have $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\}$ are equal to $\{d'_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, d'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}\}$. So $\{d_1, \ldots, d_n\} = \{d'_1, \ldots, d'_n\}$. Then we have

Then we have

$$\underline{x}^{[d]}M \cap k[\underline{x}, z] = \underline{x}'^{\sigma([d])}M \cap k[\underline{x}', z'],$$

i.e.,

(5)
$$(\underline{x}^{[d]}, P(\underline{x}, z))k[\underline{x}, z] = (\underline{x}'^{\sigma([d])}, P'(\underline{x}', z'))k[\underline{x}', z'].$$

Thus $P'(\underline{x}', z') = \tau' P(\underline{x}, z) + \underline{x}^{[d]} f'$ for some $\tau', f' \in k[\underline{x}, z]$. Since P, P' are monic in Z, using (2) and (4), we see that

$$r(=\deg_Z P) = r'(=\deg_Z P'),$$

and $\tau' \equiv \gamma^r \mod \underline{x}^{[d]} k[\underline{x}, z]$. Let $\tau = \gamma^r (\in k^*)$. Replacing τ' by τ , we have (6) $P'(\underline{x}', z') = \tau P(\underline{x}, z) + \underline{x}^{[d]} f(\underline{x}, z)$

for some $f \in k[\underline{x}, z]$. In particular, using (2) and (4), and putting $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ in (6), we obtained that

$$P'(\underline{0}, \gamma z + \varrho(0)) = \tau P(\underline{0}, z).$$

4

Now we have,

(7)
$$y' = \frac{P'(\underline{x}', z')}{\underline{x}'^{[d]'}} = \frac{\tau P(\underline{x}, z) + \underline{x}^{[d]} f(\underline{x}, z)}{\lambda^{[\sigma(d)]} \underline{x}^{[d]}} = \upsilon y + g(\underline{x}, z)$$

where $v = \lambda^{[-\sigma(d)]} \tau \in k^*$ and $g = \lambda^{[-\sigma(d)]} f \in k[\underline{x}, z]$. Therefore,

(8)
$$k[\underline{x}, y, z] = k[\underline{x}', y', z'].$$

Now we show that $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} = \{e'_1, \ldots, e'_n\}$. Denote the maximum element in $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e'_1, \ldots, e'_n\}$ by u and let $U = (u, \ldots, u)$. Then

$$\underline{x}^{[U]}M \cap k[\underline{x}, y, z] = \underline{x}'^{[U]}M \cap k[\underline{x}', y', z'],$$

i.e.,

$$(\underline{x}^{[U]}, \underline{x}^{([U]-[e])}Q(\underline{x}, y, z))k[\underline{x}, y, z] = (\underline{x}'^{[U]}, \underline{x}'^{([U]-[e'])}Q'(\underline{x}', y', z'))k[\underline{x}', y', z'].$$

Therefore

$$x_1^{u-e_1} \cdots x_n^{u-e_n} Q(\underline{x}, y, z) = \underline{x}^{[U]} g_1(\underline{x}', y', z') + x_1^{(u-e'_{\sigma^{-1}(1)})} \cdots x_n^{(u-e'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)})} g_2(\underline{x}', y', z')$$

where $g_1(\underline{x}', y', z'), g_2(\underline{x}', y', z') \in k[\underline{x}', y', z']$. Hence $u - e_i \le u - e'_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

as $Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)$ is monic in Y. By symmetry, we have $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ are equal to $\{e'_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \ldots, e'_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}\}$. So $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\} = \{e'_1, \ldots, e'_n\}$. Now we have

$$\underline{x}^{[e]}M \cap k[\underline{x}, z] = \underline{x}'^{\sigma([e])}M \cap k[\underline{x}', z'],$$

i.e.,

(9)
$$(\underline{x}^{[e]}, Q(\underline{x}, y, z))k[\underline{x}, y, z] = (\underline{x}'^{\sigma([e])}, Q'(\underline{x}', y', z'))k[\underline{x}', y', z'].$$

Thus $Q'(\underline{x}', y', z') = \kappa' Q(\underline{x}, y, z) + \underline{x}^{[e]} h'$ for some $\kappa', h' \in k[\underline{x}, y, z]$. Since Q, Q' are monic in Y, using (2) and (7), we get

$$s(=\deg_Y Q) = s'(=\deg_Y Q'),$$

and $\kappa' \equiv v^s \mod \underline{x}^{[e]}k[\underline{x}, y, z]$. Let $\kappa = v^s (\in k^*)$. Replacing κ' by κ , we have

(10)
$$Q'(\underline{x}', y', z') = \kappa Q(\underline{x}, y, z) + \underline{x}^{[e]} h(\underline{x}, y, z)$$

for some $h \in k[\underline{x}, y, z]$. In particular, using (2),(4) and (7), and putting $\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ in (10), we obtain that

$$Q'(\underline{0}, \upsilon y + g(\underline{0}, z), \gamma z + \varrho(0)) = \kappa Q(\underline{0}, y, z).$$

Now we get

(11)
$$t' = \frac{Q'(\underline{x}', y', z')}{\underline{x}'^{[e]'}} = \frac{\kappa Q(\underline{x}, y, z) + \underline{x}^{[e]}h(\underline{x}, y, z)}{\lambda^{[\sigma(e)]}\underline{x}^{[e]}} = \frac{\kappa t + h}{\lambda^{[\sigma(e)]}}.$$

Conversely, suppose that the conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold. Consider the k-algebra map $\xi : k[\underline{X}, Y, Z, T] \to L$ defined by

$$\xi(X_1) = \lambda_1 x_{\sigma(1)}$$

$$\xi(X_2) = \lambda_2 x_{\sigma(2)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\xi(X_n) = \lambda_n x_{\sigma(n)}$$

$$\xi(Z) = \gamma z + \varrho(\underline{x}),$$

$$\xi(Y) = \upsilon y + g(\underline{x}, z),$$

$$\xi(T) = \theta t + h'(x, y, z).$$

where $\upsilon = \lambda^{[-\sigma([d])]}\gamma^r$, $\theta = \lambda^{[-\sigma([e])]}\upsilon^s$, $g(\underline{x}, z) = \lambda^{[-\sigma([d])]}f(\underline{x}, z)$ and $h'(\underline{x}, y, z) = \lambda^{[-\sigma([e])]}h(\underline{x}, y, z)$. Then clearly,

$$\xi(\underline{X}^{[d]}Y - P'(\underline{X}, Z)) = \xi(\underline{X}^{[e]}T - Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)) = 0.$$

Thus ξ induces a k-linear map $\overline{\xi} : L' \to L$, which is surjective. Since L and L' are of same Krull dimension, we have $\overline{\xi}$ is an isomorphism.

As a byproduct, we can deduce the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let L be as in Theorem 2.1 and let the parameters r, s and [e] satisfy the condition (1). Denote by S the subring $k[\underline{x}, y, z]$ of L and let $\zeta \in \operatorname{Aut}_k(L)$. Then:

(i) $\zeta(k[\underline{x}, z]) = k[\underline{x}, z];$ (ii) $\zeta(x_i) = \lambda_i x_{\sigma(i)}$ for some $\sigma \in S_n, \lambda_i \in k^*, i \in \{1, \dots, n\};$ (iii) $\zeta((\underline{x}^{[d]}, P(\underline{x}, z))k[\underline{x}, z]) = (\underline{x}^{[d]}, P(\underline{x}, z))k[\underline{x}, z];$ (iv) $\zeta(k[\underline{x}, y, z]) = k[\underline{x}, y, z];$ (v) $\zeta((\underline{x}^{[e]}, Q(\underline{x}, y, z))S) = (\underline{x}^{[e]}, Q(\underline{x}, y, z))S;$ (vi) $\zeta(t) = at + b,$ where $a \in k^*$ and $b \in S.$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1.

3. Stably isomorphisms

In this section, we study the stably isomorphisms of double Danielewski varieties. A stably isomorphism between two double Danielewski varieties L and L' means an isomorphism between $L^{[1]}$ and $L'^{[1]}$. We will find stably isomorphisms between some non-isomorphic double Danielewski varieties, which provide counterexamples to the Cancellation Problem.

First we recall the definition and basic facts of exponential maps.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a k-algebra and $\phi : R \to R^{[1]}$ a k-algebra homomorphism. Denote W an indeterminate over R and write $\phi : R \to R[W]$. Then ϕ is called an exponential map on R if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $\epsilon \phi_W$ is identity on R, where $\epsilon : R[W] \to R$ is evaluating W to 0.
- (ii) $\phi_T \phi_W = \phi_{W+T}$, where $\phi_T : R \to R[V]$ is extended to a homomorphism $\phi_T : R[W] \to R[T, W]$ by setting $\phi_T(W) = W$.

The subalgebra $R^{\phi} = \{a \in R \mid \phi(a) = a\}$ of R is called the ring of invariants of ϕ .

Lemma 3.1. [6] Let R be an affine domain over a field k. Suppose that there exists a non-trivial exponential map ϕ on R. Then, R^{ϕ} is factorially closed in R, i.e., given $f, g \in R \setminus \{0\}$ the condition $fg \in R^{\phi}$ implies $f \in R^{\phi}$ and $g \in R^{\phi}$.

The following theorem presents some stably isomorphisms between nonisomorphic double Danielewski varieties.

Theorem 3.1. Consider a double Danielewski variety

$$L_{[d],[e]} = \frac{k[\underline{X}, Y, Z, T]}{(\underline{X}^{[d]}Y - P(\underline{X}, Z), \underline{X}^{[e]}T - Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z))},$$

where $[d], [e] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^{n}, P(\underline{X}, Z)$ is a monic polynomial in Z with $r := \deg_{Z} P(\underline{X}, Z)$ ≥ 2 and $Q(\underline{X}, Y, \overline{Z})$ is a monic polynomial in Y with $s := \deg_{Y} Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z) \geq 2$. Write $P'(\underline{X}, Z) := \frac{\partial(P(\underline{X}, Z))}{\partial Z}$ and $Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)) := \frac{\partial(Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z))}{\partial Z}$. Suppose that for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$(P(\underline{X},Z)|_{X_i=0}, P'(\underline{X},Z)|_{X_i=0})k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i},Z] = k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i},Z] \text{ and }$$

 $(P(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0})k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z] = k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z].$ Then, for any $[e] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}^n$,

$$L^{[1]}_{[d],[e]} \cong L^{[1]}_{[d],[e]-1}.$$

Proof. We use L to represent $L_{[d],[e]}$. Consider the exponential map $\xi : L \to L[V]$ on L defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x_i) &= x_i, \text{ for } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \xi(z) &= z + \underline{x}^{[d] + [e]} V, \\ \xi(y) &= \frac{P(\underline{x}, z + \underline{x}^{[d] + [e]} V)}{\underline{x}^{[d]}} = y + \underline{x}^{[e]} V \alpha(\underline{x}, z, V), \\ \xi(t) &= \frac{Q(\underline{x}, y + \underline{x}^{[e]} V \alpha(\underline{x}, z, V), z + \underline{x}^{[e] + [d]} V)}{\underline{x}^{[e]}} = t + V \beta(\underline{x}, y, z, V), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(\underline{x}, z, V) \in k[\underline{x}, z, V]$ and $\beta(\underline{x}, y, z, V) \in k[\underline{x}, y, z, V]$. Let $C = L[w] = L^{[1]}$ and extend ξ to C by defining $\xi(w) = w - x_i V$. Let $r_1 = \underline{x}^{[d] + [e] - 1} w + z$. Then $r_1 \in C^{\xi}$. Now,

$$P(\underline{x}, r_1) - P(\underline{x}, z) = \underline{x}^{[d] + [e] - 1} (P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i = 0} w + x_i \theta)$$

for some $\theta \in C$. Hence

(12)

$$P(\underline{x}, r_{1}) = P(\underline{x}, z) + \underline{x}^{[d] + [e] - 1} (P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_{i} = 0} w + x_{i} \theta)$$

$$= \underline{x}^{[d]} y + \underline{x}^{[d] + [e] - 1} ((P'(\underline{x}|, z)|_{x_{i} = 0} w + x_{i} \theta)$$

$$= \underline{x}^{[d]} r_{2},$$

where $r_2 = y + \underline{x}^{[e]-1}((P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0}w + x_i\theta) \in C$. Since $\underline{x}^{[d]}r_2 = P(\underline{x}, r_1) \in C^{\xi}$ and C^{ξ} is factorially closed in C, we have $r_2 \in C^{\xi}$. Then

$$Q(\underline{x}, r_2, r_1) = Q(\underline{x}, y + \underline{x}^{[e]-1}((P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0}w + x_i\theta), z + \underline{x}^{[d]+[e]-1}w)$$

= $Q(\underline{x}, y, z) + \underline{x}^{[e]-1}P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0}Q'(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_i=0}w + \underline{x}^{[e]}\rho$

for some $\rho \in C$. Therefore,

(13)

$$Q(\underline{x}, r_{2}, r_{1}) = \underline{x}^{[e]}t + (Q(\underline{x}, r_{2}, r_{1}) - Q(\underline{x}, y, z))$$

$$= \underline{x}^{[e]}t + \underline{x}^{[e]-1}(P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_{i}=0}Q'(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_{i}=0}w + x_{i}\rho)$$

$$= \underline{x}^{[e]-1}(P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_{i}=0}Q'(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_{i}=0}w + x_{i}t + x_{i}\rho)$$

$$= \underline{x}^{[e]-1}r_{3}$$

where $r_3 = P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0}Q'(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_i=0}w + x_it + x_i\rho \in C$. As $Q(\underline{x}, r_2, r_1) = \underline{x}^{[e]-1}r_3 \in C^{\xi}$ and C^{ξ} is factorially closed in C by Lemma 3.1, we have $r_3 \in C^{\xi}$. Then we obtain that, in $k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}}, Y, Z]$,

 $(P(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0}P'(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}) = (1).$ So there exist $a(Y,Z), b(Y,Z), c(Y,Z) \in k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z]$ such that

 $Q'(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0}P'(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}a(\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z) +$ $Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0}b(\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z) + P(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}c(\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z) = 1.$ Since $Q(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_i=0} \in x_i C$ and $P(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0} \in x_i C$, we have, $Q'(\underline{x}, y, z)|_{x_i=0} P'(\underline{x}, z)|_{x_i=0} a(\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, y, z) + x_i \varrho = 1$ (14)

for some $\rho \in C$. Let $s = \frac{w - a(r_2, r_1)r_3}{x_i}$. We first show that $s \in C$. Note that $a(r_2, r_1) - a(y, z) \in x_i C$. Let $a(r_2, r_1) - a(y, z) = x_i \gamma$ for some $\gamma \in C$. Then $w - r_3 a(r_2, r_1) = w - r_3 a(y, z) - r_3 (a(r_2, r_1) - a(y, z))$ $= w - r_3 a(y, z) - r_3 x_i \gamma$ $= w - a(y,z)(P'(\underline{x},z)|_{x_i=0}Q'(\underline{x},y,z)_{x_i=0}w + x_it + x_i\rho) - r_3x_i\gamma$ $= w(1 - a(y, z)P'(x, z)|_{x = 0}Q'(x, y, z)|_{x = 0})$ $-x_i(a(y,z)t + a(y,z)\rho + r_3\gamma)$ $= wx_i\rho - x_i(a(y,z)t + a(y,z)\rho + r_3\gamma) \in x_iC$

Thus, $s \in C$ and $\xi(s) = s - V$. Therefore,

(15)
$$C = C^{\xi}[v] = (C^{\xi})^{[1]}$$

Let $O = k[x, r_1, r_2, r_3]$. Consider indeterminates X, R_1, R_2 and R_3 over k so that $k[X, R_1, R_2, R_3] = k^{[4]}$ and let

(16)
$$O_1 = \frac{k[X, R_1, R_2, R_3]}{\underline{X}^{[d]}R_2 - P(\underline{X}, R_1), X^{[e]-1}R_3 - Q(\underline{X}, R_2, R_1)} \cong L_{[d], [e]-1}$$

We will show that $O \cong O_1$. Define a k-algebra homomorphism $\Xi : k[X, R_1, R_2, R_3] \to O$ such that

$$\Xi(X) = x, \Xi(R_1) = r_1, \Xi(R_2) = r_2, \Xi(R_3) = r_3.$$

We can deduce from (12) and (13) that Ξ is surjective, and thus Ξ induces a k-algebra surjective homomorphism $\overline{\Xi}: O_1 \to O$. Since $C[1/x_i] = O[1/x_i][w] = O[1/x_i]^{[1]}$, O has dimension n + 1. Therefore, as O_1 is an integral domain (Lemma 3.4 of [9]) of dimension $n + 1, \overline{\Xi}$ is an isomorphism.

Now, we can show that $C^{\xi} = O$. The inclusion $O \subseteq C^{\xi}$ is obvious. And since $C[1/x_i] = O[1/x_i][w]$, it follows that $O[1/x_i] = C^{\xi}[1/x_i]$. Therefore, to show that $O = C^{\xi}$, it suffices to show that $x_iO = x_iC^{\xi} \cap O$. Since $x_iC^{\xi} = x_iC \cap C^{\xi}$ by the factorial closed property of C^{ξ} , it is enough to show that $x_iO = x_iC \cap O$, equivalently to show that the kernel of the map $\nu : O \to C/x_iC$ is x_iO . We let \tilde{u} denote the image of u in C/x_iC , for all $u \in C$. We see that

$$C/x_i C = \frac{k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z, T, W]}{(P(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0})}$$
$$= \left(\frac{k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, Y, Z]}{(P(\underline{X}, Z)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, Y, Z)|_{X_i=0})}\right) [W, T] = k[\tilde{z}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{w}, \tilde{t}].$$

Clearly $\nu(r_1) = \tilde{z}, \nu(r_2) = \tilde{y}$ and $\nu(r_3) = P'(\underline{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{z})|_{\tilde{x}_i=0}Q'(\underline{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})|_{\tilde{x}_i=0}\tilde{w}$. Now by (14), $P'(\underline{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{z})|_{\tilde{x}_i=0}Q'(\underline{\tilde{x}}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{z})|_{\tilde{x}_i=0} \in (C/x_iC)^*$. Hence, $\nu(O) = k[\underline{\tilde{x}}_{\hat{x}_i}, \tilde{z}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{w}]$ and $C/x_iC = \nu(O)[\tilde{t}] = \nu(O)^{[i]}$. Thus dim $\nu(O) = \dim(C/x_iC) - 1 = n$. Now as

$$O/x_i O \cong O_1/x_i O_1 = k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, R_1, R_2, R_3] / (P(\underline{X}, R_1)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, R_2, R_1)|_{X_i=0})$$
$$= \left(\frac{k[\underline{X}_{\hat{X}_i}, R_1, R_2]}{P(\underline{X}, R_1)|_{X_i=0}, Q(\underline{X}, R_2, R_1)|_{X_i=0}}\right)^{[1]},$$

we have $\dim(O/x_iO) = n = \dim \nu(O)$. Hence the kernel of ν is x_iO , and thus $C^{\xi} = O$. Then by (15), we obtain that $L_{[d],[e]}[w] = C = (C^{\xi})^{[1]} = O^{[1]} \cong O^{[1]}_1$, i.e., $L^{[1]}_{[d],[e]} \cong L_{[d],[e]-1}$

Finally, combining Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.1. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1, for every $[d], [e] \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^{n}$,

 $L_{[d],[e]} \cong L_{[d],[e]+1} \text{ but } L_{[d],[e]}^{[1]} \cong L_{[d],[e]+1}^{[1]}.$ This provides counterexamples to the Cancellation Problem. 9

XIAOSONG SUN, SHUAI ZENG

References

- [1] W. Danielewski, On the cancellation problem and automorphism groups of affine algebraic varieties, *preprint*, *Warsaw*, 1989.
- [2] A. Dubouloz, Additive group actions on danielewski varieties and the cancellation problem, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 255(1):77–93, 2007.
- [3] P. Eakin, W. Heinzer, A cancellation problem for rings, in: Conference on Commutative Algebra, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol.311, Springer, Berlin, 1973, pp. 61-77.
- K. H. Fieseler, On complex affine surfaces with C₊-action, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 69(1):5–27, 1994.
- [5] P. Ghosh, N. Gupta, On generalised danielewski and asanuma varieties, *Journal of Algebra*, 632:226–250, 2023.
- [6] N. Gupta, On zariski's cancellation problem in positive characteristic, Advances in Mathematics, 264:296–307, 2014.
- [7] N. Gupta, S. Sen, On double danielewski surfaces and the cancellation problem, Journal of Algebra, 533:25–43, 2019.
- [8] L. Makar-Limanov, On the group of automorphisms of a surface $x^n y = P(z)$, Israel Journal of Mathematics, 121:113–123, 2001.
- [9] X. Sun, S. Zeng, On double Danielewski varieties, arXiv:2312.16808.

XIAOSONG SUN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, JILIN, 130012, CHINA

Email address: sunxs@jlu.edu.cn

SHUAI ZENG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, JILIN, 130012, CHINA

Email address: zengshuai22@jlu.edu.cn