SEQUENTIALLY COHEN-MACAULAY BINOMIAL EDGE IDEALS

ERNESTO LAX, GIANCARLO RINALDO, FRANCESCO ROMEO

To Jürgen

ABSTRACT. We prove that cycles, wheels and block graphs have sequentially Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals. Moreover, we provide a construction of new families of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs by cones.

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple graph with the vertex set [n] and the edge set E(G). The notion of binomial edge ideals was introduced by Herzog et al. in [11], and independently by Ohtani in [17]. Many algebraic properties and invariants of such ideals were described via the combinatorics of the underlying graph, see [12] for a nice survey on this topic. The most important one is the so-called cutset property. Let $G \setminus T$ denote the graph obtained by removing the vertices of $T \subset [n]$. T is called a *cutset* if the number of connected components of $G \setminus T$, denoted by c(T), satisfies $c(T) > c(T \setminus \{v\})$ for any $v \in T$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the set of all cutsets for G. For example, the Krull dimension of the ring induced by the binomial edge ideal is

$$d = \max\{n + c(T) - |T|\}.$$
 (1)

for all $T \in \mathcal{C}(G)$.

One of the main topics of the study of binomial edge ideals is to classify the Cohen-Macaulay ones. As an example, after their introduction, the first paper is [6] where the main result is to classify the block graphs that are Cohen-Macaulay. After that, many authors focused on the problem (see [3],[5],[6],[18]). Recently, Gorenstein binomial edge ideals ([9]), Licci binomial edge ideals ([7]) and level binomial edge ideals ([19]) have been characterized. A full classification of graphs whose binomial edge ideals are Cohen-Macaulay is not yet known. However, significant progress in this direction has been recently made in [1],[2],[4],[13],[14],[16],[20]. A nice and deeply studied generalization of the Cohen-Macaulay ring is the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay ones (see [23]).

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13C14, 13F70, 05C25, 05C40.

Key words and phrases. Binomial edge ideals, cycles, wheels, block graphs, cones, modules of deficiency, dimension filtration, sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

As in the case of the Cohen-Macaulay property, for studying sequentially Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals, one can reduce to connected indecomposable graphs as proved in [8]. In particular, in [8], the authors focus on binomial edge ideals with quadratic Gröbner bases, that is, those ideals which are associated with closed graphs, introduced in [6]. A result of Goodarzi [10], providing a characterization of homogeneous sequentially Cohen-Macaulay ideals, has a crucial role in the proof, the other one is the initial ideal due to the nice property of closed graphs. In [22], the authors classified the bipartite complete graphs that are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay studying the deficiency modules (see [21]).

In the first part of the paper, we focus on the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property of graphs with one cutset comparing the Goodarzi's filtration and the deficiency modules. An interesting observation of this section is obtained considering the following invariant, let

$$m(G) = \min\{\dim S/P_T : T \in \mathcal{C}(G)\}\$$

if m(G) = n + 1, namely $m(G) = \dim S/P_{\emptyset}$, and J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then G has at least a cutpoint.

Therefore, from now on we consider a natural partition of sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs by looking at m(G). If m(G) < n + 1 we have sequentially Cohen-Macaulay graphs that are blocks, namely graphs with no cutpoints, if m(G) = n + 1otherwise.

In Section 2, we focus on the family of graphs that are blocks, and we prove that cycles, where m(G) = n, and wheels, with m(G) = n - 1, are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay by using the Goodarzi's filtration, and good properties of nonempty cutsets of G.

In Section 3, we prove that blocks graphs are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. We underline that in general the filtration of Goodarzi gives us new ideals induced by the primary decomposition of J_G that are not anymore binomial edge ideals. Nevertheless, using elementary containment problem (see Lemma 3.1) by good properties of the block graphs, is possible to control the filtration using the Mayer–Vietoris sequence (see Theorem 3.2).

In [18], the authors provide a useful tool to generate new Cohen-Macaulay graphs using cones. This has been used as an example to completely classify all bipartite Cohen-Macaulay graphs [3]. In Section 4, we extend this result to sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property, obtaining that a cone is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if its components are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay (see Theorem 4.1).

1. Graphs with only one non-empty cutset

Let G be a graph with the vertex set V(G) = [n] = 1, ..., n and the edge set E(G). Let K be a field and $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n]$ be the standard graded polynomial K-algebra in 2n indeterminates. The binomial edge ideal of G is the ideal J_G of S generated by all binomials $f_{ij} = x_i y_j - x_j y_i$, such that i < j and $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$.

Let G be a graph on the vertex set V(G) = [n] and consider $v \notin V(G)$. The cone of v on G is the graph, denoted by Cone(v, G), is the graph on the vertex set $V(G) \cup \{v\}$ and edge set $E(G) \cup \{\{v, w\} : w \in V(G)\}$.

Let M be an S-module, and let $d = \dim M$. A decreasing sequence $\{M_i\}_{0 \le i \le d}$ of S modules is called *dimension filtration* of M if M_i/M_{i-1} is either zero or dim $M_i/M_{i-1} = i$ for all $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d$, where $M_{-1} = 0$. It is known that the dimension filtration exists and it is uniquely determined.

Definition 1.1. An S-module M is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if the dimension filtration $\{M_i\}_{0 \le i \le d}$ if M_i/M_{i-1} is either zero or an *i*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module for all i = 0, 1, ..., d.

Constructing the dimension filtration for a module M is not always an easy task, nevertheless many authors in the years described a many ways to characterize the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property for homogeneous ideals/modules.

We first focus on a homological characterization of the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property due to Schenzel [21], involving the notion of modules of deficiency.

Definition 1.2. Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module and put $d = \dim M$. For an integer $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the *i*th module of deficiency of M is defined as it follows

$$\omega^{i}(M) = \operatorname{Ext}_{S}^{2n-i}(M, S(-2n)).$$

If i = d, the module $\omega^d(M) = \omega(M)$ is called the *canonical module* of M.

The modules of deficiency were introduced and studied in [21]. Note that, by the graded version of Local Duality, the *i*th module of deficiency is the Matlis dual of the *i*th local cohomology module, hence the following graded isomorphism holds

$$\omega^{i}(M) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{K}(H^{i}(M), K), \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$$

where $H^i(M)$ is the *i*th local cohomology module of M. From the given relation, since depth $M = \min\{i : H^i(M) \neq 0\}$ and dim $M = \max\{i : H^i(M) = 0\}$, it follows that

 $\omega^i(M) = 0$, for all $i < \operatorname{depth} M$ or $i > \dim M$,

which means that, as the local cohomology modules, the modules of deficiency vanish outside the range between depth M and dim M.

The following Proposition summarizes the main properties of the modules of the deficiency (see [22, Proposition 2.5]).

Proposition 1.3. Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module and let $d = \dim M$. Then, the following holds:

- (1) dim $\omega^i(M) \leq i$ and dim $\omega(M) = d$;
- (2) there is a natural homomorphism $M \to \omega(\omega(M))$, which becomes an isomorphism if and only if M satisfies the Serre condition S_2 ;

The next result shows the connection between the modules of deficiency and the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property.

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a finitely generated graded S-module, with $d = \dim M$. Then, the followings are equivalent:

- (1) M is a sequentially Cohen-Macaulay module;
- (2) for all $0 \leq i < d$ the *i*th module of deficiency $\omega^i(M)$ is either zero or an *i*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module;
- (3) for all $0 \le i \le d$ the *i*th module of deficiency $\omega^i(M)$ is either zero or an *i*-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay module.

We begin our investigation by focusing on block star graphs, namely a *block star* graph is a block graph in which every block is attached to a single vertex.

Let G be a block star graph, with V(G) = [n] and suppose G has t cliques attached to the central vertex $v \in [n]$. Such a graph has the central vertex as its unique cutpoint and the two cutsets

$$\emptyset, \{v\}.$$

Hence, it is clear that

$$J_{G_v} = P_{\emptyset} = J_{K_n}, \quad J_{G \setminus \{v\}} = P_{\{v\}}$$

and moreover $J_{G_v \setminus \{v\}} + (x_v, y_v) = J_{G_v} + (J_{G \setminus \{v\}} + (x_v, y_v)) = J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v).$

In the following we may also assume that $t \geq 3$, in fact if t = 1, 2, then J_G is Cohen-Macaulay since G is a complete graph, decomposable graph respectively. With this assumption, by (1) we have

$$\dim S/J_G = n + t - 1$$

Moreover, it has been proved in [6] that depth $S/J_G = n + 1$.

The next figure illustrates some examples of this class of graphs.



FIGURE 1. Examples of block star graph

Theorem 1.5. Let G be a non-complete, indecomposable block star graph on n vertices and let J_G be the corresponding binomial edge ideal. Then,

- (1) $\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n+1, moreover, we have $\omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \cong (J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))/J_{K_n};$
- (2) $\omega(S/J_G) \cong \omega(S/(J_{G\setminus\{v\}} + (x_v, y_v)));$
- (3) $\omega^i(S/J_G) = 0$ for all $i \neq n+1, n+t-1$.

In particular, S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We will show the statement by applying Theorem 1.4. In the following we will proceed similarly to the proof of [22, Theorem 4.1].

Consider the Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to S/J_G \to S/J_{K_n} \oplus S/(J_{G \setminus \{v\}} + (x_v, y_v)) \to S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v)) \to 0.$$
(2)

We now apply the local cohomology functors to the sequence (2). Since S/J_{K_n} is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n + 1, we have that $H^i(S/J_{K_n}) = 0$ for all $i \neq n + 1$ and, since $S/(J_{G\setminus\{v\}} + (x_v, y_v))$, $S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))$ are Cohen-Macaulay modules of dimension n + t - 1 and n, respectively, we have that $H^i(S/(J_{G\setminus\{v\}} + (x_v, y_v))) = 0$ for all $i \neq n + t - 1$ and $H^i(S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))) = 0$ for all $i \neq n$. Moreover, since depth $S/J_G = n + 1$ and dim $S/J_G = n + t - 1$, we have that $H^i(S/J) = 0$ for all i < n + 1 or i > n + t - 1. Merging all this informations gives us the short exact sequence

$$0 \to H^n(S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))) \to H^{n+1}(S/J_G) \to H^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n}) \to 0$$
(3)

and the isomorphism

$$H^{n+t-1}(S/J_G) \cong H^{n+t-1}(S/J_{G\setminus\{v\}} + (x_v, y_v)).$$
(4)

Moreover, $H^i(S/J_G) = 0$, for all $i \notin \{n+1, n+t-1\}$. This implies condition (3) of the Theorem; in fact, by Local Duality, we have

$$\omega^i(S/J_G) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \notin \{n+1, n+t-1\},\$$

as stated. By applying Local Duality to sequence (3), we obtain the short exact sequence on the modules of deficiency,

$$0 \to \omega^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n}) \to \omega^{n+1}(S/J_G) \to \omega^n(S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v)) \to 0,$$

which, by applying again the local cohomology functor and dualizing, leads to the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \to \omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n})) \to \\ \to \omega^n(\omega^n(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))) \to \omega^n(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \to 0,$$

where we took into account that $\omega^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n})$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n+1 and $\omega^n(S/(J_{K_{n-1}}+(x_v,y_v)))$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n. Moreover, by the Depth Lemma we obtain depth $\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G) \ge n$. Consider now the map $\omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n})) \to \omega^n(\omega^n(S/(J_{K_{n-1}}+(x_v,y_v)))))$, then there exist an epimorphism f which lifts the map and makes the following diagram

commutative. Observe that we wrote $\omega(\omega(S/J_{K_n}))$ instead of $\omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_{K_n}))$ and $\omega(\omega(S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))))$ instead of $\omega^n(\omega^n(S/(J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))))$ since we are dealing with canonical modules. Moreover, by Proposition 1.3, the vertical maps are isomorphism. Then, we obtain the short exact sequence,

$$0 \to \omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \to S/J_{K_n} \xrightarrow{f} S/(x_v, y_v, J_{K_{n-1}}) \to \omega^n(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \to 0.$$
(5)

Since f is a surjective map, then $\omega^n(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) = 0$. Hence, depth $\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G) = \dim \omega^{n+1}(S/J_G) = n + 1$. It follows that $\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n + 1. Moreover, the sequence (5) induces the isomorphism $\omega^{n+1}(\omega^{n+1}(S/J_G)) \cong (J_{K_{n-1}} + (x_v, y_v))/J_{K_n}$ and this completes the proof of point (1) of the statement.

Finally, the isomorphism (4) leads to $\omega(S/J_G) \cong \omega^{n+t-1}(S/J_{G\setminus\{v\}})$, where $\omega(S/J_G) = \omega^{n+t-1}(S/J_G)$ is the canonical module of S/J_G , which is a Cohen-Macaulay module of dimension n + t - 1 and also point (2) of the statement is proven.

Lastly, by conditions (1) and (2) and Theorem 1.4, it easily follows that S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Although the modules of deficiency are a powerful tool, it can be difficult to apply the same techniques of the proof of Theorem 1.5 in general cases.

For this reason, we will use different techniques in Section 3, in order to prove that all block graphs are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

More recently, Goodarzi [10] provided an interesting method to construct the dimension filtration of a homogeneous ideal.

Definition 1.6. Let $I \subset S$ be a homogeneous ideal, with $d = \dim S/I$, and let $I = \bigcap_{j=1}^{r} Q_j$ be the minimal primary decomposition of I. For all $1 \leq j \leq r$, let $P_j = \sqrt{Q_j}$ be the radical of Q_j . For all $-1 \leq i \leq d$, denote by $I^{\langle i \rangle}$ the ideal

$$I^{\langle i \rangle} = \bigcap_{\dim S/P_j > i} Q_j,$$

where $I^{\langle -1 \rangle} = I$ and $I^{\langle d \rangle} = S$. Thus, we have a filtration

$$I = I^{\langle -1 \rangle} \subseteq I^{\langle 0 \rangle} \subseteq I^{\langle 1 \rangle} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I^{\langle d-1 \rangle} \subseteq I^{\langle d \rangle} = S.$$

Moreover, if we rewrite the above sequence of inclusions modulo I, we have

$$0 \subseteq I^{<0>}/I \subseteq I^{<1>}/I \subseteq \ldots \subseteq I^{}/I \subseteq S/I,$$
(6)

which is the dimension filtration of the S-module S/I.

By the previous definition it is possible to characterize the sequentially Cohen-Macaulay property in the following way (see [10, Proposition 16]):

Proposition 1.7. Let $I \subset S$ be a homogeneous ideal and suppose $d = \dim S/I$. Then, S/I is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if

$$\operatorname{depth} S/I^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1, \qquad for \ all \ 0 \le i < d.$$

The above characterization can be reformulated in the case of binomial edge ideals in the following way. For a graph G set $\mathcal{D}(G) = \{\dim S/P_T : T \in \mathcal{C}(G)\}.$

Lemma 1.8. Let G be a graph and let $\mathcal{D}(G) = \{d_1, \ldots, d_\ell\}$, with $d_1 < \ldots < d_\ell$. Then, S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G^{< d_j - 1>} = d_j, \qquad for \ all \ j = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Proof. Suppose S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then by Proposition 1.7, we have that

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1, \qquad \text{for all } 0 \le i < d,$$

in particular

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G^{< d_j - 1>} \ge d_j, \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, \ell.$$

Moreover, for all $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we have depth $S/J_G^{< d_j - 1>} \leq \dim S/J_G^{< d_j - 1>}$ and, by the definition of $J_G^{< d_j - 1>}$, it is clear that $\dim S/J_G^{< d_j - 1>} \leq d_j$. Therefore, for all $j = 1, \ldots, \ell$, we have

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G^{\langle d_j-1\rangle} = d_j.$$

Conversely, suppose

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G^{< d_j - 1 >} = d_j, \qquad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, \ell$$

and show that S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Let $0 \leq i < d$. We must distinguish two cases:

I CASE: suppose $i = d_j - 1$ for some j, then depth $S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle} = d_j = i + 1$;

II CASE: suppose $d_j \leq i < d_{j+1}$ for some j, then depth $S/J_G^{\leq i>} = d_{j+1} \geq i+1$. Therefore, for all $0 \leq i < d$,

depth
$$S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1$$
,

and S/J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Remark 1.9. Observe that, even if Lemma 1.8 was stated for binomial edge ideals for coherence with the topics of the article, it also applies for any homogeneous ideal.

We end this section by providing some sufficient conditions and some necessary conditions for a graph S/J_G to be sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. For this aim, the notion of graph connectivity is needed.

We recall that a graph G on n vertices is ℓ -vertex-connected if $\ell < n$ and for every subset $T \subset V(G)$ of vertices such that $|T| < \ell$, the graph $G \setminus T$ is connected. The vertex-connectivity (or simply the connectivity) of G, denoted by $\kappa(G)$, is defined as the maximum integer ℓ such that G is ℓ -vertex-connected.

Proposition 1.10. Let G be a graph such that $C(G) = \{\emptyset, T\}$, let t = |T| and let c = c(T) denote the number of connected components of $G \setminus T$. Then J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay in the following cases:

(i)
$$t = 1;$$

(ii) $t \ge 2$ and c = 2;

Proof. From $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{\emptyset, T\}$ we have

$$J_G = P_\emptyset \cap P_T.$$

We recall that $P_{\emptyset} = J_{K_n}$ and we observe that since $(\{x_v, y_v\}_{v \in T}) \subset P_T$, then $P_{\emptyset} + P_T = J_{K_{n-t}} + (\{x_v, y_v\}_{v \in T})$. Let $X_T = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1 \ldots y_n\} \setminus \{\{x_v, y_v\} : v \in T\}$. From the Mayer-Vietoris sequence we get

$$0 \to S/J_G \to S/J_{K_n} \oplus S/P_T \to \mathbb{K}[X_T]/J_{K_{n-t}} \to 0.$$

In particular, from depth lemma

depth
$$S/J_G \ge \min\{n+1, n-t+c, (n-t+1)+1\} = n-t+2,$$

because $t \ge 1$ and $c \ge 2$. and since the connectivity of G is t, from [5]

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G \le n - t + 2$$

hence depth $S/J_G = n - t + 2$. Therefore, J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if for $i \ge 2$

$$J_G^{< n-t+2>} \in \{J_{P_T}, J_{K_n}\}$$

This corresponds to the conditions

- (i) $n t + 2 \ge n + 1 \Rightarrow t \le 1$;
- (ii) $n t + 2 \ge n t + c \Rightarrow c \le 2$,

and the assertion follows.

Example 1.11. From Proposition 1.10 we can construct an example giving negative answer to the following questions:

- (1) Let G let $v \notin V(G)$ and let H = Cone(v, G). If G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, so H is?
- (2) Let G let $v \in V(G)$ be a cutpoint of G. If $G \setminus \{v\}$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, so G is?

1. If G is the claw graph $K_{1,3}$ on vertices $\{1\} \sqcup \{2,3,4\}$, then H = Cone(5,G) is such that $\mathcal{C}(G) = \{\emptyset, T = \{1,5\}\}$ and c(T) = 3. From Theorem 1.5 G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, while from Proposition 1.10, H is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.



2. Let us consider the graph G in Figure 3. We observe that $G \setminus \{4\}$ is a disconnected graph of two components: one having only one cutset satisfying Proposition 1.10 and hence sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Moreover, G is unmixed but not Cohen-Macaulay and hence not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.



FIGURE 3

The next Lemma illustrates a necessary condition for the binomial edge ideal J_G of a graph G to be sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

The following result due to Banerjee – Núñez-Betancourt is needed.

Theorem 1.12. ([5, Theorem 3.19]) Let G be a non-complete, connected graph on n vertices and J_G the corresponding binomial edge ideal. Then,

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_G \le n - \kappa(G) + 2,$$

where $\kappa(G)$ is the connectivity of the graph G.

Lemma 1.13. Let G be a non-complete, connected graph on n vertices. Let $\overline{T} \in C(G)$ such that dim $S/P_{\overline{T}} = m(G)$. If J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then

$$\kappa(G) - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \le 2,$$

where $\kappa(G)$ is the connectivity of the graph G.

Proof. We verify the statement by contradiction. Suppose

$$\kappa(G) - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \ge 3,$$

we must prove that J_G is not sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. We proceed in the following way:

$$\begin{split} \kappa(G) - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \geq 3 \implies n - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \geq n - \kappa(G) + 3 \\ \implies n - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \geq (n - \kappa(G) + 2) + 1 \end{split}$$

Since dim $S/P_{\overline{T}} = n - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T})$, it is clear that

$$J_G^{\langle n-\kappa(G)+2\rangle} = J_G.$$

Hence, we obtain

depth
$$S/J_G^{\langle n-\kappa(G)+2\rangle} = \operatorname{depth} J_G$$

 $\leq n - \kappa(G) + 2,$

which, by Proposition 1.7, contradicts the fact that J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

As a consequence of Lemma 1.13, we are able to prove the following:

Proposition 1.14. Let G be a non-complete, connected graph on n vertices. Suppose $m(G) = \dim S/P_{\emptyset} = n + 1$. If J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay, then G has at least one cutpoint.

Proof. By Lemma 1.13, we know that

$$\kappa(G) - |\overline{T}| + c(\overline{T}) \le 2,$$

where $\overline{T} \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ is the cutset giving the minimum dimension. In our hypothesis we have $\overline{T} = \emptyset$ and $c(\overline{T}) = 1$. Hence,

$$\kappa(G) + 1 \le 2 \implies \kappa(G) \le 1.$$

Since G is a connected graph, necessarily $\kappa(G) = 1$ and the assertion follows. \Box

2. Cycles and wheels

In this section we focus on some families of graphs with no cutpoints: we prove that cycles and wheels are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Let C_n be a cycle with n vertices. We first remark that cycle graphs are not Cohen-Macaulay in general if $n \geq 4$. It has been proved by Zafar in [24] that S/J_{C_n} is approximately Cohen-Macaulay, where J_{C_n} is the binomial edge ideal of C_n . Moreover, the author showed that depth $S/J_{C_n} = n$ (see [24, Theorem 4.5]).

Lemma 2.1. Let C_n be a cycle with n vertices. Then, the nonempty cutsets of C_n have cardinalities ≥ 2 . Moreover if $T \neq \emptyset$ is a cutset then |T| is equal to the number of connected components of $G \setminus T$.

Proof. Let $V(C_n) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $E(C_n) = \{\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}, \ldots, \{n - 1, n\}\} \cup \{\{1, n\}\}$. Since C_n is biconnected we need at least 2 vertices to break the cycle. Moreover, without loss of generality we may assume that a nonempty cutset contains the vertex 1. We claim that $T = \{i_1 = 1, \ldots, i_r\}$ with $i_k > i_{k-1} + 1$ for all $2 \leq k \leq r$, and $i_r < n$. That is T is a cutset if and only if it is a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality ≥ 2 and such that there are no adjacent vertices in T. In this way $G \setminus T$ is a collection of |T| paths and isolated vertices. **Proposition 2.2.** Let C_n be a cycle with n vertices. Then, S/J_{C_n} is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We first observe that, by Lemma 2.1, it easily follows that

$$\dim S/J_{C_n} = n+1.$$

In fact, given a cutset T of C_n , if $T = \emptyset$, then dim $S/P_{\emptyset} = n+1$. Otherwise, if $T \neq \emptyset$, by Lemma 2.1 we have that c(T) = |T|, hence dim $S/P_T = n - |T| + c(T) = n$. Therefore,

$$\dim S/J_{C_n} = \max\{n, n+1\} = n+1,$$

as stated.

Our aim is to apply Lemma 1.8 to prove that S/J_{C_n} is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. We observe that $\mathcal{D}(G) = \{n, n+1\}$, and S/J_{C_n} is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if

depth
$$S/J_{C_n}^{} = n$$
 and depth $S/J_{C_n}^{} = n + 1$.
From $J_{C_n}^{} = J_{C_n}$ and $S/J_{C_n}^{} = P_{\emptyset}$, the assertion follows.

In order to prove an interesting consequence of the previous result, we recall that the wheel graph W_n is the graph on the vertex set [n + 1] obtained by joining a single universal vertex with every vertex of a *n*-cycle, that is $W_n = \text{Cone}(n+1, C_n)$. Moreover, any nonempty cutset T of W_n is $T' \cup \{n + 1\}$ where T' is a nonempty cutset cutset of C_n . Hence, c(T) = |T| - 1. Moreover, it is known that (see [15, Corollary 3.11])

depth
$$S/J_{W_n} = n$$

Corollary 2.3. Let W_n be a wheel graph. Then, J_{W_n} is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. With a similar arguing to that of the proof of Proposition 2.2, one proves

$$\dim S/J_{W_n} = \max\{n, n+2\} = n+2,$$

As done in Proposition 2.2, by applying Lemma 1.8, the assertion follows.

3. Block graphs

In this Section we reduce to the study of indecomposable connected block graphs as observed in [8, Remark 1.7].

Let G be a graph. A *block* of G is a connected subgraph of G with no cutpoints, which is maximal with respect of this property. G is called a *block graph* if any block of G is a clique. These graph were studied in [6], where the authors provided a characterization for those graphs of such class whose binomial edge ideal is Cohen-Macaulay.

Moreover, for the sake of simplicity we define $J_v := J_{G_v}$ and $J_{\overline{v}} := J_{G \setminus \{v\}}$

Lemma 3.1. Let v a cutpoint of an indecomposable connected block graph G such that

$$G \setminus \{v\} = G_1 \sqcup B_1 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup B_r$$

with $r \geq 2$, where B_i are complete graphs. Then,

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} = (J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}$$

Proof. We claim that if G is an indecomposable block graph, then $\mathcal{C}(G_v) = \mathcal{C}(G \setminus \{v\})$. In fact, if T is a cutset of G that does not intersect $N_{G_1}(v)$ then T is obviously a cutset of both graphs. Let T be a cutset of G_v and assume T is not cutset of $G \setminus \{v\}$. From the above observation, $T \cap N_{G_1}(v) \neq \emptyset$ and hence there exists $u \in T \cap N(v)$ such that $c(T \setminus \{u\}) = c(T)$. That is u does not reconnect any component, meaning that u is free in the graph G_1 . We have that $N_G(u) = N_{G_1}(u) \cup \{v\}$ and G is decomposable in u, contradiction.

We start observing that the block containing v in G_v , namely B_v , is a block of G_v itself, such that $|V(B_v)| \ge 4$ and having at least 3 free vertices. Thanks to this we have that

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (x_v, y_v) = (J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}.$$

In fact,

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} = \bigcap_{\dim S/P_t > i} P_T = (\bigcap P'_T) + J_{F_v}$$

where F_v is the complete graphs containing the free vertices of B_v , and

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (x_v, y_v) = (\bigcap P'_T) + J_{F_v \setminus \{v\}} = J_v^{\langle i-1 \rangle}.$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that for all i

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (x_v, y_v) \supseteq (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$$

For any $T \in \mathcal{C}(G_v) = \mathcal{C}(G \setminus \{v\})$ let P_T^v and $P_T^{\overline{v}}$ be primes of J_v and $J_{\overline{v}}$, respectively. Then,

dim
$$P_T^v = n - |T| + c_{G_v}(T)$$
 and dim $P_T^{\overline{v}} = (n-1) - |T| + c_{G \setminus \{v\}}(T)$.

Observe that $c_{G\setminus\{v\}}(T) = c_{G_v}(T) + r$. Since $r \ge 2$, then

$$\dim P_T^{\overline{v}} = (n-1) - |T| + c_{G_v}(T) + r = \dim P_T^v + r - 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{D}(G_v) = \{d_0, \ldots, d_l\}$ and $\mathcal{D}(G \setminus \{v\}) = \{\overline{d}_0, \ldots, \overline{d}_l\}$ where $d_0 = \dim P_{\emptyset} = n+1$. We have proved that $d_k = \overline{d}_k - r + 1$. we show that for any k

$$J_v^{} + (x_v, y_v) \supseteq (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{}$$

If k = 0, and $d_0 - 1 < \overline{d}_0 - 1$, then

$$J_v^{\langle d_0-1\rangle} + (x_v, y_v) = J_v + (x_v, y_v) \supseteq (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle d_0-1\rangle} = J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v).$$

because there is no dimension shift, *i.e.* the filtered ideals $(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, d_0 - 1$, coincide with $J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v)$ itself.

Let $0 < k \leq l$, we observe that since $G \setminus v$ is not connected then

$$(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle \overline{d}_k - 1 \rangle} = \left(\bigcap_{\dim S/P'_T \geq \overline{d}_k - r} P'_T\right) + \sum_{i=1}^r J_{B_i} + (x_v, y_v).$$

Since J_{F_v} is the binomial edge ideal induced by the free vertices of the block containing v in G_v , then

$$J_v^{\langle d_k-1\rangle} = \bigcap_{\dim S/P_T > d_k} P_T = \left(\bigcap_{\dim S/P_T' \ge d_k-1} P_T'\right) + J_{F_v}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{r} J_{B_i} \subset J_{F_v}$ and $\overline{d}_k - r = d_k - 1$, we have

$$J_{v}^{} + (x_{v}, y_{v}) \supseteq (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_{v}, y_{v}))^{<\overline{d}_{k}-1>} \supseteq (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_{v}, y_{v}))^{}$$

and the assertion follows.

We are now in position to prove the main result.

Theorem 3.2. Let G be an indecomposable, connected block graph. Then, J_G is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. Our aim is to apply Goodarzi's characterization ([10, Proposition 2.6]) to S/J_G , proving that for all $0 \le i < d = \dim S/J_G$,

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle}) \ge i+1.$$

We proceed by induction on the number r of the cutpoints of G. If r = 1, then G is a block star graph. Let v be the unique cutpoint of G and let $c_v = c (G \setminus \{v\})$. Hence,

$$J_G = J_v \cap (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v)),$$

and $d = \dim S/J_G = \dim S/J_{\overline{v}} = n + c_v - 1$. Moreover, it is clear that

$$J_{G}^{} = \begin{cases} J_{G} & \text{if } 0 \le i \le n, \\ (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_{v}, y_{v})) & \text{if } n < i < d, \\ S & \text{if } i = d. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if $0 \leq i \leq n$, we have

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle}) = n+1 \ge i+1.$$

Otherwise, if n < i < d

$$depth(S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle}) = depth(S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v)))$$
$$= dim(S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v)))$$
$$= d$$
$$\geq i + 1,$$

and this proves the base case.

Suppose now r > 1 and assume the statement true for r - 1. Consider the Mayer–Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to S/J_G \to S/J_v \oplus S/J_{\overline{v}} \to S/(J_v + (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))) \to 0.$$

Fix now i such that $0 \le i < d$, the above sequence induces the following sequence on the filtered ideals:

$$0 \to S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle} \to S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle} \oplus S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \to S/(J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}).$$
(7)

In order to apply the Depth Lemma to sequence (7), we must express the ideal $J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$ in a more suitable form. By Lemma 3.1, we know that

$$J_v^{\langle i \rangle} + (J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} = (J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}$$

hence the above sequence becomes

$$0 \to S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle} \to S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle} \oplus S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \to (J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}.$$
 (8)

Applying now the Depth Lemma to the sequence (8), we obtain

$$depth(S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle}) \ge \min \left\{ depth(S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle} \oplus S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}), \\ depth(S/(J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i - 1 \rangle}) + 1 \right\}.$$

Observe that depth $S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle} \leq \operatorname{depth} S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$, since G_v is a block graph and $G \setminus \{v\}$ is tensor product of block graphs. Therefore,

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/J_v^{\langle i\rangle} \oplus S/(J_{\overline{v}} + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i\rangle}) = \operatorname{depth} S/J_v^{\langle i\rangle}.$$

Then,

$$\operatorname{depth}(S/J_G^{\langle i \rangle}) \ge \min \Big\{ \operatorname{depth} S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle}, \operatorname{depth}(S/(J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}) + 1 \Big\}.$$

By applying inductive hypothesis, we have that

depth $S/J_v^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1$, depth $(S/(J_v + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i-1 \rangle}) \ge i$,

and the assertion follows.

4. Cone graphs

In this section we study the binomial edge ideals of cone graphs and we specify under which conditions it is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Cone graphs have been studied by Kumar and Sarkar in [15], in which the authors described many invariants of the binomial edge ideals of such graphs.

Theorem 4.1. Let G be a graph on n vertices and suppose $G = G_1 \sqcup G_2 \sqcup \ldots \sqcup G_r$, where G_i is a connected graph for each $i = 1, \ldots, r$, with $r \ge 2$. Let v be a vertex such that $v \notin V(G)$ and H = Cone(v, G) the cone graph of v on G. S/J_H is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $S/J_{G_1}, \ldots, S/J_{G_r}$ are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Proof. We first observe that, since H is a cone graph, then

$$H_v = K_{n+1}, \quad H \setminus \{v\} = G.$$

Hence, we may write

$$J_H = J_{K_{n+1}} \cap (J_G + (x_v, y_v)).$$

Moreover, since

$$S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v)) \cong S_1/J_{G_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes S_r/J_{G_r},$$

where $S_i = K[x_u, y_u : u \in V(G_i)]$ then S_i/J_{G_i} are sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v))$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay.

Since $J_H^{\langle i \rangle} = J_{K_{n+1}}^{\langle i \rangle} \cap (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$ for $i \ge n+2$, then

$$J_H^{\langle i \rangle} = (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle},$$

If $i \ge n+2$, then S/J_H is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $S/(J_G+(x_v, y_v))$ is sequentially Cohen-Macaulay. Hence, from now on, we deal with the case $i \le n+1$.

We observe that

$$\dim S/P_{\{v\}} = n+r \ge n+2$$

hence $P_{\{v\}}$ appears in the primary decomposition of any $(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}$, then we may write

$$S/J_{H}^{\langle i \rangle} = S/J_{H}^{\langle i \rangle} \cap P_{\{v\}}$$

= $P_{\emptyset} \cap (J_{G} + (x_{v}, y_{v}))^{\langle i \rangle} \cap P_{\{v\}}$
= $(P_{\emptyset} \cap P_{\{v\}}) \cap (J_{G} + (x_{v}, y_{v}))^{\langle i \rangle}$

Observe that $P_{\emptyset} \cap P_{\{v\}} = J_{B_v}$ where B_v is a block star graph with cutpoint v. Hence, we consider the Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$0 \to S/J_H^{\langle i \rangle} \to S/J_{B_v} \oplus S/J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \to S/J_{B_v} + (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \to 0.$$
(9)

Since

$$(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \subset P_v.$$

and any cutset of H contains v, that is

$$(x_v, y_v) \subset (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle},$$

therefore,

$$J_{B_v} + (x_v, y_v) \subseteq J_{B_v} + (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{} \subseteq J_{B_v} + P_v,$$

yielding $J_{B_v} + (J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} = P_v$. Hence, by the Depth Lemma applied to (9), we have

$$depth(S/J_H^{\langle i \rangle}) \ge \min \left\{ depth(S/J_{B_v}), depth(S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}), depth(S/P_v) + 1 \right\}$$
$$= \min \left\{ n + 2, depth(S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle}), n + r + 1 \right\}$$

and

$$\min\left\{\operatorname{depth}(S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{}, \operatorname{depth}(S/J_{B_v})\right\} \ge \min\left\{\operatorname{depth}(S/J_H^{}), \operatorname{depth}(S/P_v)\right\}$$
$$= \min\left\{\operatorname{depth}(S/J_H^{}), n+r\right\}$$

Since $i + 1 \le n + 2$ we have that

$$\operatorname{depth} S/J_H^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1 \Leftrightarrow \operatorname{depth} S/(J_G + (x_v, y_v))^{\langle i \rangle} \ge i+1$$

The assertion follows.

References

- D. BOLOGNINI, A. MACCHIA, G. RINALDO, F. STRAZZANTI, A combinatorial characterization of S₂ binomial edge ideals. (2023) Preprint available at https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.17076
- [2] D. BOLOGNINI, A. MACCHIA, G. RINALDO, F. STRAZZANTI, Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals of small graphs. J. Algebra 638, 189-213 (2024) https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jalgebra.2023.09.029
- [3] D. BOLOGNINI, A. MACCHIA, F. STRAZZANTI, Binomial edge ideals of bipartite graphs. Eur. J. Comb. 70, 1–25 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2017.11.004
- [4] D. BOLOGNINI, A. MACCHIA, F. STRAZZANTI, Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals and accessible graphs. J. Algebraic Comb. 55, 1139–1170 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10801-021-01088-w
- [5] A. BANERJEE, L. NÚÑEZ-BETANCOURT, Graph connectivity and binomial edge ideals. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 145, 487–499 (2017) https://doi.org/10.1090/proc/13241
- [6] V. ENE, J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, Cohen-Macaulay Binomial edge ideals. Nagoya Math. J. 204, 57-68 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1215/00277630-1431831
- [7] V. ENE, G. RINALDO, N. TERAI, Licci binomial edge ideals. J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 175 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2020.105278
- [8] V. ENE, G. RINALDO, N. TERAI, Sequentially Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals of closed graphs. Res. Math. Sci. 9, 39 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1007/s40687-022-00334-2
- [9] R. GONZÁLEZ-MARTÍNEZ, Gorenstein binomial edge ideals. Math. Nachr. 294(10), 1889–1898 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201900251
- [10] A. GOODARZI, Dimension filtration, sequential Cohen-Macaulayness and a new polynomial invariant of graded algebras. J. Algebra 456, 250-265 (2016) https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jalgebra.2016.01.045
- [11] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, F. HREINSDÓTTIR, T. KAHLE, J. RAUH, Binomial edge ideals and conditional independence statements. Adv. Appl. Math. 45, 317–333 (2010) http://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.aam.2010.01.003
- [12] J. HERZOG, T. HIBI, H. OHSUGI, Binomial Ideals. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 279, Springer Cham (2018) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95349-6
- [13] D. KIANI, S. SAEEDI MADANI, Binomial edge ideals of graphs. *Electron. J. Combin.* 19(2) P44 (2012) https://doi.org/10.37236/2349
- [14] D. KIANI, S. SAEEDI MADANI, Some Cohen-Macaulay and unmixed binomial edge ideals. *Commun. Algebra* 43(12), 5434-5453 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2014. 952734
- [15] A. KUMAR, R. SARKAR, Depth and extremal Betti number of binomial edge ideals. Math. Nachr. 293(9), 1746-1761 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201900150
- [16] A. LERDA, C. MASCIA, G. RINALDO, F. ROMEO, (S₂)-condition and Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals. J. Algebraic Comb. 57, 589–615 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10801-022-01173-8
- [17] M. OHTANI, Graphs and ideals generated by Some 2-minors. Commun. Algebra 39(3), 905–917 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1080/00927870903527584
- [18] A. RAUF, G. RINALDO, Construction of Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals. Commun. Algebra 42(1), 238-252 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2012.709569

- [19] G. RINALDO, R. SARKAR, Level and pseudo-Gorenstein binomial edge ideals. J. Algebra 632, 363-383 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2023.05.037
- [20] K. SAHA, I. SENGUPTA, Cohen-Macaulay binomial edge ideals in terms of blocks with whiskers, J. Algebra Appl. (to appear) (2023) https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498825502949
- [21] P. SCHENZEL, On the Use of Local Cohomology in Algebra and Geometry. In: Six Lectures on Commutative Algebra. Progress in Mathematics 166, Birkhäauser Verlag, Basel (1998) https: //doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0346-0329-4_4
- [22] P. SCHENZEL, S. ZAFAR, Algebraic properties of the binomial edge ideal of a complete bipartite graph. An. Stiint. Univ. "Ovidius" Constanța Ser. Mat. 22(2), 217–237 (2014) https://doi.org/10.2478/auom-2014-0043
- [23] R. P. STANLEY Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra, 2nd ed. Progress in Mathematics
 41 Birkhäuser, Boston, MA (1996) https://doi.org/10.1007/b139094
- [24] S. ZAFAR On approximately Cohen-Macaulay Binomial edge ideal, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie 55(103)(4), 429–442 (2012)

ERNESTO LAX, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, PHYSICS AND EARTH SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, VIALE FERDINANDO STAGNO D'ALCONTRES 31, 98166 MESSINA, ITALY

Email address: erlax@unime.it

GIANCARLO RINALDO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, PHYSICS AND EARTH SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MESSINA, VIALE FERDINANDO STAGNO D'ALCONTRES 31, 98166 MESSINA, ITALY

Email address: grinaldo@unime.it

FRANCESCO ROMEO, DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND INFORMATION ENGINEERING "MAU-RIZIO SCARANÒ", UNIVERSITY OF CASSINO AND SOUTHERN LAZIO, 03043 CASSINO, ITALY Email address: francesco.romeo@unicas.it