

ABSOLUTE ZETA FUNCTIONS ARISING FROM CEILING AND FLOOR PUISEUX POLYNOMIALS

YOSHINOSUKE HIRAKAWA AND TAKUKI TOMITA

ABSTRACT. For the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of a monoid scheme X of finite type, Deitmar-Koyama-Kurokawa calculated its absolute zeta function by interpolating $\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ for all prime powers q using the Fourier expansion. This absolute zeta function coincides with the absolute zeta function of a certain polynomial. In this article, we characterize the polynomial as a ceiling polynomial of the sequence $(\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q))_q$, which we introduce independently. Extending this idea, we introduce a certain pair of absolute zeta functions of a separated scheme X of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$ by means of a pair of Puiseux polynomials which estimate “ $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ ” for sufficiently large p . We call them the ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomials of X . In particular, if X is an elliptic curve, then our absolute zeta functions of X do not depend on its isogeny class.

1. INTRODUCTION

In number theory, it is traditionally important to study the solutions over $\mathbb{Z}$ of algebraic equations. One of the approaches to such a problem is to investigate the set $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ of the $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ -rational points of a scheme $\mathcal{X}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ and unify information on $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$'s. In particular, the zeta function of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_p} := \mathcal{X} \times \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p$ defined by

$$Z(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_p}, p^{-s}) := \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})}{m} p^{-ms} \right)$$

has been studied as exemplified by the Weil Conjecture since the 20th century.

Soulé [19] studied “the limit of $Z(\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}_p}, p^{-s})$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ ” when there exists a polynomial $f_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^R a_j t^j$ satisfying that $\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = f_{\mathcal{X}}(p^m)$ for any prime number p and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, he found the fact that

$$(S) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} (p-1)^{f_{\mathcal{X}}(1)} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_{\mathcal{X}}(p^m)}{m} p^{-ms} \right) = \prod_{j=0}^R (s-j)^{-a_j}$$

and called it the *absolute zeta function* of $\mathcal{X}$. Later, Deitmar [6, 7] introduced a *monoid scheme* and realized the above rational function as an invariant of a monoid scheme. After Deitmar's work, Connes and Consani generalized the above definition of absolute zeta functions as follows.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G10 (Primary), 11M41, 11R59 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. absolute zeta functions, monoid schemes, elliptic curves.

This research is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP21K13779, JP22J10658 and JP22KJ2684.

Definition 1.1 ([4]). Let $f: [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function satisfying that $|f(t)| \leq Ct^d$ for some $C > 0$ and $d > 0$. Then, the *absolute zeta function* of f is defined by the limit

$$\zeta_f(s) := \lim_{p \rightarrow 1+} (p-1)^{f(1)} \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(p^m)}{m} p^{-ms} \right) \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > d)$$

when the right-hand side converges.

Remark 1.2. Kurokawa [8, 15] introduced another definition of the absolute zeta function for a nice function $f: (1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\zeta_f^K(s) := \exp \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w} Z_f(w, s) \Big|_{w=0} \right),$$

where

$$Z_f(w, s) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_1^{\infty} f(t) t^{-s} (\log t)^{w-1} \frac{dt}{t}.$$

It is one of the advantages of this definition that we can regard Barnes' multiple gamma function as an absolute zeta function. Moreover, this definition is consistent with Soulé's since $\zeta_f^K(s) = \zeta_f(s)$ for any Puiseux polynomial f .

Let $X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a monoid scheme of finite type and $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift of X (see [6, 7]). Then, Connes and Consani showed that

$$\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \sum_{x \in X} (q-1)^{r_x} \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} \gcd(q-1, t_{x,j})$$

for any prime power q (see Propositions 2.1 and 2.3), where the non-negative integers r_x , l_x and the positive integers $t_{x,j}$ are taken so that $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_x} \times \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} \mathbb{Z}/t_{x,j}\mathbb{Z}$ with $t_{x,j} \mid t_{x,j+1}$ for each $x \in X$. By using the Fourier expansion of the periodic function $\gcd(q-1, t_{x,j})$ in q , Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa [8, pp. 61–63] interpolated $\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ to a certain continuous function $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ on $[1, \infty)$ and then obtained the following result.

Theorem 1.3 ([8, Theorem 2.1]). *For the above function $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$, it holds that*

$$\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{R_X} (s-k)^{\sum_{x \in X} T_x (-1)^{r_x - k + 1} \binom{r_x}{k}},$$

where $T_x := \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} t_{x,j}$ and $R_X := \max_{x \in X} r_x$. Moreover, if $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a smooth projective variety of relative dimension d , it holds that $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1) = \chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))$ and $\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(d-s) = (-1)^{\chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))} \zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$, where $\chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))$ is the Euler characteristic of the complex manifold $X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C})$.

Remark 1.4. In [8], Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa took $t_{x,j}$'s as prime powers instead of the above integers satisfying $t_{x,j} \mid t_{x,j+1}$.

Despite this simple result, the proof of Theorem 1.3 involves relatively complicated calculations. In fact, comparing with the equation (S) and Theorem 1.3, we see that the

absolute zeta function $\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$ of $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ coincides with the absolute zeta function $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$ of the polynomial

$$\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} T_x (t - 1)^{r_x}.$$

This polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is characterized as the *ceiling polynomial* of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (cf. Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 2.7), which is defined as the unique polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[t]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) The inequality $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(q) \geq \#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ holds for every prime power q .
- (2) There exist infinitely many prime powers q such that $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(q) = \#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Thus, we have a more simple way to obtain the above absolute zeta function $\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$, not using the periodicity of $\gcd(q - 1, t_{x,j})$. This simple observation is notable for us to extend Soulé's idea to a more general scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ for which we do not have any formula like Connes-Consani's formula of $\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Similarly, by replacing $\geq$ with $\leq$ in the first condition, we can recover the polynomial

$$\mathfrak{F}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} (t - 1)^{r_x},$$

introduced by Deitmar [7, Theorem 1]. We call it the *floor polynomial* of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The above conditions satisfied by the ceiling polynomial suggest that it is not necessary to interpolate the whole sequence $(\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q))_q$ for the definition of an absolute zeta function of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$, at least in view of the result of Deitmar-Koyama-Kurokawa [8]. Therefore, it is more natural to start from a general (separated) scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$ instead of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift of a monoid scheme of finite type. Moreover, since the polynomial condition is too strict for most schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$, we generalize the ceiling polynomial by means of Puiseux polynomial. For example, a desired Puiseux polynomial exists uniquely for every elliptic curve E over $\mathbb{Q}$ as follows; this fact leads us to a provisional definition of the absolute zeta function of E .

Theorem 1.5 (Corollary 3.15). *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, the Puiseux polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_E(t) := t + 2t^{1/2} + 1$ is characterized as the unique element in $\mathbb{R}[t^{1/\infty}] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{R}[t^{1/n}]$ satisfying the following condition: for any separated scheme $\mathcal{E}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong E$, there exists a finite set $S_{\mathcal{E}}$ of prime numbers such that for any finite set S of prime numbers containing $S_{\mathcal{E}}$, the Puiseux polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_E$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) *The inequality $\mathfrak{C}_E(p^m) \geq \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ holds for every prime power p^m , where $p \notin S$.*
- (2) *There exist infinitely many prime powers p^m such that $p \notin S$ and the equality $\lfloor \mathfrak{C}_E(p^m) \rfloor = \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ holds.*
- (3) *$\mathfrak{C}_E(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Moreover, the absolute zeta function of $\mathfrak{C}_E$ is

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_E}(s) = \frac{1}{s \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (s - 1)}.$$

We call $\mathfrak{C}_E$ the *ceiling Puiseux polynomial* of E . A drawback of $\mathfrak{C}_E$ is that the special value $\mathfrak{C}_E(1)$ does not coincide with the Euler characteristic of the complex torus $E(\mathbb{C})$. This is not consistent with the well-known philosophy (cf. [19, Théorème 2], [14, Remark

2], [7, p. 141]) that the value at 1 of the original function f of the absolute zeta function ζ_f associated with a scheme coincides with its Euler characteristic. Indeed, if X is a monoid scheme of finite type such that $T_x = 1$ for each $x \in X$ and $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a smooth projective variety, then it holds that $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1) = \mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1) = \mathfrak{F}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1) = \chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))$, where $\chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))$ is the Euler characteristic of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C})$.

On the other hand, by replacing $\geq$ in (1) (resp. $\lfloor \mathfrak{C}_E(p^m) \rfloor = \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$ in (2)) in Theorem 1.5 with $\leq$ (resp. $\lceil \mathfrak{C}_E(p^m) \rceil = \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})$), we can naturally define the *floor Puiseux polynomial* of E and determine it as follows.

Theorem 1.6 (Corollary 3.15). *Let E be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, the floor Puiseux polynomial $\mathfrak{F}_E(t)$ of E coincides with $t - 2t^{1/2} + 1$ and its absolute zeta function is*

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_E}(s) = \frac{(s - \frac{1}{2})^2}{s(s-1)}.$$

Remark 1.7. According to Theorems 1.5 and 1.6, it holds that

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_E}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{s(s - \frac{1}{2})} \right)^{\otimes 2} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_E}(s) = \left(\frac{s}{s - \frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\otimes 2},$$

where $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product that we replace $m(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ to $-m(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r)$ in the definition of the Kurokawa tensor product in [13, p. 219]. These are compatible with the factorizations $\mathfrak{C}_E(t) = (t^{1/2} + 1)^2$ and $\mathfrak{F}_E(t) = (t^{1/2} - 1)^2$.

Here, note that the special value $\mathfrak{F}_E(1)$ coincides with the Euler characteristic of $E(\mathbb{C})$, which is consistent with the above philosophy. In this view, it is fair to say that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_E}$ is better than $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_E}$.

The organization of this article is as follows. In §2, we introduce ceiling polynomials and give another interpretation of [8, Theorem 2.1]. Then, we give some examples of ceiling (resp. floor) polynomials of specific schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$, where S is a finite subset of prime numbers. In §3, we extend ceiling (resp. floor) polynomials to ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomials and determine the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, which leads to a pair of provisional definitions of its absolute zeta function mentioned above.

Notation. We denote the set of positive integers, non-negative integers and prime numbers by $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{N}_0$ and $\mathbb{P}$, respectively. We put $\mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}} := \{p^m \mid p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for a subset S of $\mathbb{P}$ and abbreviate $\mathbb{P}_{\emptyset}^{\mathbb{N}}$ to $\mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Through this article, we write $\mathcal{P}$ as an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such as $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}$, where S is finite. For a commutative ring R , an R -algebra A and a scheme $\mathcal{X}$ over R , the base change $\mathcal{X} \times_{\text{Spec } R} \text{Spec } A$ is denoted by $\mathcal{X}_A$.

2. CEILING/FLOOR POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we review basic facts about monoid schemes and introduce the ceiling and floor polynomials of a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$, where S is a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. After that, we characterize the polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ in §1 as the ceiling polynomial of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

In this article, we refer to a *monoid* as a commutative multiplicative monoid with the unit element 1 and the absorbing element 0 which maps any element to 0 by

multiplication. We denote the category of monoids, abelian groups and commutative R -algebras with the unit element by $\mathfrak{M}_0$, $\mathfrak{Ab}$ and $\mathfrak{Alg}_R$, respectively, where R is a commutative ring.

2.1. Monoid schemes. A *monoid scheme* is a topological space together with a sheaf of monoids, which is constructed by gluing spectra of monoids just like a scheme (see [6], where monoid schemes are called schemes over $\mathbb{F}_1$).

We denote the spectrum of a monoid M as $\text{spec } M$.¹ Let X be a monoid scheme with an affine covering $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{spec } M_i$. We say X to be *of finite type* if it has a covering by finitely many affine monoid schemes $\text{spec } M_i$, where each M_i is finitely generated [7]. In addition, we define $X(M) := \text{Hom}(\text{spec } M, X)$ for each $M \in \mathfrak{M}_0$.

Let R be a commutative ring. Through the base change functor $M \mapsto M \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} R := R[M]$ from $\mathfrak{M}_0$ to $\mathfrak{Alg}_R$, we obtain the scheme $X_R := \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Spec}(M_i \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_1} R)$ over R and call X_R as the R -lift of X . Here, the isomorphism class of X_R does not depend on the choices of affine coverings of X [6]. Note that X is of finite type if and only if the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ [7, Lemma 2].

Let $\mathbb{F}_1[\cdot]: \mathfrak{Ab} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_0$ be the covariant functor which send a multiplicative abelian group G to a monoid $G \cup \{0\}$. We put $\mathbb{F}_{1^n} := \mathbb{F}_1[C_n]$, where C_n is a cyclic group of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, we abbreviate $\mathbb{F}_{1^1}$ to $\mathbb{F}_1$.

In [7], Deitmar mentioned the following property of monoid schemes.

Proposition 2.1 ([7, p. 143]). *Let X be a monoid scheme of finite type. Then, it holds that*

$$\#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^{q-1}})$$

for any $q \in \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$. In particular, the underlying set of X is finite, i.e., $\#X = \#X(\mathbb{F}_1) = \#X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{F}_2) < \infty$.

Connes and Consani explicitly described the right-hand side of Proposition 2.1. Before stating their formula, we introduce some notations used hereafter.

Definition 2.2. Let $X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a monoid scheme of finite type. For each $x \in X$, we define $r_x, l_x \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $t_{x,j} \in \mathbb{N}$ as the integers satisfying

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{r_x} \times \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} \mathbb{Z}/t_{x,j}\mathbb{Z} \quad \text{with} \quad t_{x,j} \mid t_{x,j+1}$$

and put $T_x := \#(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times})_{\text{tors}}$. Here, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times}$ denotes the group of invertible elements of the monoid $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times})_{\text{tors}}$ denotes its torsion subgroup. In addition, we put $R_X := \max_{x \in X} r_x$ and $T_X := \prod_{x \in X} T_x$.

Proposition 2.3 ([4, Proposition 3.22]). *Let X be a monoid scheme of finite type. Then, it holds that*

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^n}) = \sum_{x \in X} n^{r_x} \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} \gcd(n, t_{x,j})$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

¹In this article, we use “spec” for the spectrum of a monoid to distinguish it from “Spec”, the spectrum of a commutative ring.

2.2. Ceiling/Floor polynomials. Let X be a monoid scheme of finite type. As we explained in §1, Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa [8] identified the absolute zeta function of $N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ with the absolute zeta function of the polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$. In this subsection, we characterize $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}$ as the ceiling polynomial of $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We firstly show the uniqueness of the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomial of a given sequence.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A_n)_{n \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists at most one polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *The inequality $f(n) \geq A_n$ (resp. $f(n) \leq A_n$) holds for every $n \in \mathcal{P}$.*
- (2) *There exist infinitely many $n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $f(n) = A_n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ satisfy both of the conditions. Then, since $f - g$ is a polynomial, we have the following three possibilities:

- There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) - g(n) > 0$ for every $n > N$.
- There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) - g(n) < 0$ for every $n > N$.
- $f(n) - g(n) = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $f = g$ in $\mathbb{R}[t]$.

In the first case, since g (resp. f) satisfies the first condition, the inequality $f(n) > g(n) \geq A_n$ (resp. $A_n \geq f(n) > g(n)$) holds for every $n > N$, which contradicts that f (resp. g) satisfies the second condition. By changing the roles of f and g , we see that the second case is also impossible. Thus, we obtain the conclusion. $\square$

Definition 2.5. When the polynomial f in Lemma 2.4 exists, we call the unique polynomial f the *ceiling* (resp. *floor*) *polynomial* of $\mathbf{A}$.

Definition 2.6. Let S be a proper subset of $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathcal{X}$ be a scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$. We call the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomial of $(\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q))_{q \in \mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}}$ the *ceiling* (resp. *floor*) *polynomial* of $\mathcal{X}$ and denote it by $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{X}}$).

According to Propositions 2.1 and 2.3, we obtain the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomial of the $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$ -lift of a monoid scheme of finite type.

Theorem 2.7. *Let X be a monoid scheme of finite type and S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. Set $\mathcal{X} := X_{\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}$,*

$$e_{x,j,S} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 2 \mid t_{x,j} \text{ and } 2 \in S, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad T_{x,S} := \prod_{j=1}^{l_x} 2^{e_{x,j,S}}.$$

Then, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} T_x(t-1)^{r_x} \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{X}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} T_{x,S}(t-1)^{r_x} \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

In particular, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}$ is independent of S . Moreover, it holds that

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{X}}}(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{R_X} (s-k)^{\sum_{x \in X} T_x(-1)^{r_x-k+1} \binom{r_x}{k}}$$

and

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{X}}}(s) = \prod_{k=0}^{R_X} (s-k)^{\sum_{x \in X} T_{x,S}(-1)^{r_x-k+1} \binom{r_x}{k}}.$$

Proof. At first, we consider the polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_X$. The first condition in Lemma 2.4 follows from the inequality $\gcd(n-1, t_{x,j}) \leq t_{x,j}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can check the second condition by applying Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions to the prime numbers p such that $p \equiv 1 \pmod{T_X}$. Thus, the polynomial $\sum_{x \in X} T_x(t-1)^{r_x}$ coincides with $\mathfrak{C}_X$.

Next, we consider the polynomial $\mathfrak{F}_X$. Let T'_X be the odd integer satisfying $T_X = 2^e T'_X$ for some $e \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The first condition follows from the inequality $\gcd(q-1, t_{x,j}) \geq 2^{e_{x,j,S}}$ for any $x \in X$, $j \in \{1, \dots, l_x\}$ and $q \in \mathbb{P}_S^\mathbb{N}$. The second condition in the case where $2 \notin S$ follows from the fact that $2^{\varphi(T'_X)k+1} - 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{T'_X}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where φ is Euler's totient function. In the case where $2 \in S$, we see that there are infinitely many $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S$ such that $p \equiv 2 \pmod{T'_X}$ and $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ by combining Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progression and the Chinese remainder theorem. We denote the set of such p 's by P . For $p \in P$, it holds that $\gcd(p-1, T_X) = 2$ (resp. 1) when T_X is even (resp. odd), and hence $\gcd(p-1, t_{x,j}) = 2^{e_{x,j,S}}$ for any $x \in X$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, l_x\}$. Thus, the second condition follows.

The equation on the absolute zeta function follows from the equation (S) and the calculation of $\mathfrak{C}_X$ and $\mathfrak{F}_X$. $\square$

Remark 2.8. Let $X = (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a monoid scheme of finite type. Then,

$$\sum_{x \in X} T_x(t-1)^{r_x} \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \quad \left(\text{resp. } \sum_{x \in X} (t-1)^{r_x} \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \right)$$

is the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomial of $(\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^{n-1}}))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)}$ by Proposition 2.3 and a similar argument of the proof of Theorem 2.7. In fact, the floor polynomial of $(\#X(\mathbb{F}_{1^{n-1}}))_{n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)}$ coincides with the polynomial $N(x)$ introduced by Deitmar in [7, Theorem 1] since it satisfies the condition therein and such a polynomial is unique.

Theorem 2.7 shows that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_X}(s)$ coincides with $\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$ in Theorem 1.3, which Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa obtained in [8] using the Fourier expansion. Thus, $\zeta_{N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}}(s)$ is an invariant of $X_{\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}$ independent of S , and hence it is an invariant of its generic fiber $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (cf. Example 3.7).

2.3. Other examples of ceiling/floor polynomials. We give some examples of the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomials of other specific schemes over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$, especially those of relative dimension 1.

Theorem 2.9. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{A}_n := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ and S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. Set $n_1 := \min_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S} \{p, n\}$. Then, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}(t) = t - n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}(t) = t - n.$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that

$$\#\mathcal{A}_n, \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}](\mathbb{F}_q) = q - \#(\mathbb{F}_p \cap \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}) = q - \min\{p, n\}$$

for each $q = p^m \in \mathbb{P}_S^\mathbb{N}$. $\square$

Let $n \geq 2$. Replacing $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ with $\{0\} \cup \mu_{n-1}$, where μ_{n-1} is the set of the $(n-1)$ -th roots of unity, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \cap [2, \infty)$, $\mathcal{G}_n := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1 \setminus (\{0\} \cup \mu_{n-1}) = \mathbb{G}_{m, \mathbb{Z}} \setminus \mu_{n-1}$ and S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. Set*

$$n_2 := \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \text{ and } 2 \in S, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}_{n, \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}}(t) = t - n_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{G}_{n, \mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}}(t) = t - n.$$

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.7 and the fact that μ_{n-1} is the $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift of $\text{spec } \mathbb{F}_{1^{n-1}}$. $\square$

We give another example of ceiling (resp. floor) polynomials. Let $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}$ be the Pell conic of discriminant $\Delta \neq 0$, defined as an affine curve over $\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} x^2 - \frac{\Delta}{4}y^2 = 1 & \text{if } \Delta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ x^2 + xy + \frac{1-\Delta}{4}y^2 = 1 & \text{if } \Delta \equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Then, the number of the $\mathbb{F}_q$ -rational points of $\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}$ is given as follows.

Theorem 2.11. *Let $q = p^m \in \mathbb{P}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then,*

$$\#\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{cases} q - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^m & \text{if } p \neq 2, p \nmid \Delta, \\ 2q & \text{if } p \neq 2, p \mid \Delta, \\ q - (-1)^{\frac{\Delta^2-1}{8}m} & \text{if } p = 2, 2 \nmid \Delta, \\ q & \text{if } p = 2, 2 \mid \Delta, \end{cases}$$

where $\left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Moreover, let S_{Δ} be the set of prime numbers dividing Δ . For any finite subset S of $\mathbb{P}$, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}^{\Delta}}(t) = \begin{cases} 2t & \text{if } S_{\Delta} \setminus \{2\} \not\subset S, \\ t+1 & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is not a square and } S_{\Delta} \setminus \{2\} \subset S, \\ t-1 & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is a square and } S_{\Delta} \subset S, \\ t & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is an even square, } S_{\Delta} \setminus \{2\} \subset S \text{ and } 2 \notin S, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}^{\Delta}}(t) = t - 1.$$

Proof. Assume that $p \neq 2$ and $p \nmid \Delta$. If $\Delta \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$, then we have $\#\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - 1$ since it holds that

$$\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}; (x, y) \mapsto x + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}y.$$

If $\Delta \bmod p \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$, then it holds that

$$\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \text{Ker} \left(N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q} : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \right); (x, y) \mapsto x + \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2}y$$

and the norm map $N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}$ is surjective. Therefore, we have $\#\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^{\times} / \#\mathbb{F}_q^{\times} = q + 1$. Thus, it holds that $\#\mathcal{C}^{\Delta}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - \left(\frac{\Delta}{p}\right)^m$ if $p \neq 2$ and $p \nmid \Delta$.

Assume that $p \neq 2$ and $p \mid \Delta$, then

$$\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \mid x^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{p}\} = 2q.$$

Assume that $p = 2$ and $p \nmid \Delta$. If $\Delta \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, then we have $\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - 1$ since

$$\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times; (x, y) \mapsto x.$$

If $\Delta \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and m is even, then we have $\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - 1$ since

$$\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{F}_q^\times; (x, y) \mapsto x + \zeta_3 y,$$

where $\zeta_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ denotes a primitive third root of unity. If $\Delta \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and m is odd, then we have $\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 1$ since

$$\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \text{Ker } N_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q}; (x, y) \mapsto x + \zeta_3 y,$$

where $\zeta_3 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ denotes a primitive third root of unity.

Assume $p = 2$ and $p \mid \Delta$, then

$$\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q \mid x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}\} = q.$$

The statements on the ceiling and floor polynomials of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]}$ follow from the above calculation of $\#\mathcal{C}^\Delta(\mathbb{F}_q)$. $\square$

Next, it is natural to study the ceiling (resp. floor) polynomial of a curve $\mathcal{C}$ of positive genus defined over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$. According to Theorem 2.11, one can expect that the ceiling polynomial crucially depends on the bad reductions of $\mathcal{C}$ and becomes more simple if $\mathcal{C}$ is smooth over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$. However, the following result shows that the ceiling polynomial does not exist even for an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$ whenever S is finite.

Proposition 2.12. *Let S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Z}[S^{-1}]$. Then, there exists no ceiling or floor polynomial of $\mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. By Hasse's theorem, it holds that

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) < p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$$

for every prime number $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S$. On the other hand, the Sato-Tate conjecture [1, 3] implies that for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exist prime numbers $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S$ such that

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) > p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}(1 - \epsilon).$$

These facts imply that there exists no ceiling polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E}$. Indeed, if such a polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}$ exists, then the Sato-Tate conjecture and the first condition in Lemma 2.4 imply that

$$\forall \alpha > 0, \exists N_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S, (p > N_0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}(p) > p + \alpha).$$

However, since $\mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}$ is a polynomial, the above estimate is equivalent to the following:

$$\exists \delta > 0 \text{ s.t. } \exists N_1 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S, (p > N_1 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}(p) > (1 + \delta)p).$$

Since the inequality $(1 + \delta)p > p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}$ holds for every $p \gg 1$, Hasse's theorem implies that

$$\exists N_2 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } \forall p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S, (p > N_2 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_\mathcal{E}(p) > \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)),$$

which contradicts the second condition in Lemma 2.4.

The non-existence of the floor polynomial $\mathfrak{F}_\mathcal{E}$ of $\mathcal{E}$ follows from a similar argument. $\square$

3. CEILING/FLOOR PUISEUX POLYNOMIALS

In this section, we introduce ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomials by replacing the polynomial condition in Lemma 2.4 by means of Puiseux polynomials. Then, after introducing the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$, we identify the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ as the Puiseux polynomial $t + 2t^{1/2} + 1$ (resp. $t - 2t^{1/2} + 1$).

3.1. Ceiling/Floor Puiseux polynomials. We begin with the definition of the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of a general integer sequence.

Definition 3.1. Let R be a commutative ring. We define $R[t^{1/\infty}]$ as the residue ring of the polynomial ring $R[t_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}]$ in countably many indeterminates t_n modulo the ideal I generated by $t_{mn}^m - t_n$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and set $t^{1/n} := t_n \bmod I$. We call an element of $R[t^{1/\infty}]$ a Puiseux polynomial with coefficients in R .

Suppose that $R = \mathbb{R}$ (or its subring). Then, by fixing a branch $1^{1/n} = 1$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, each Puiseux polynomial in $\mathbb{R}[t^{1/\infty}]$ defines a continuous function on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. In what follows, we identify each Puiseux polynomial with this function. Similarly to Lemma 2.4, we show the uniqueness of a certain Puiseux polynomial.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an infinite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{A} = (A_n)_{n \in \mathcal{P}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}$. Then, there exists at most one Puiseux polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{R}[t^{1/\infty}]$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) *The inequality $f(n) \geq A_n$ (resp. $f(n) \leq A_n$) holds for every $n \in \mathcal{P}$.*
- (2) *There exist infinitely many $n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that the equality $\lfloor f(n) \rfloor = A_n$ (resp. $\lceil f(n) \rceil = A_n$) holds.*
- (3) *$f(1) \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f, g \in \mathbb{R}[t^{1/\infty}]$ satisfy both of the conditions. Then, since $f - g$ is a Puiseux polynomial, it is a polynomial of $t^{1/n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, we have the following three possibilities:

- There exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) - g(n) \geq 1$ for every $n > N$.
- There exists some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(n) - g(n) \leq -1$ for every $n > N$.
- $f - g$ is a constant in the open interval $(-1, 1)$.

In the first case, since g (resp. f) satisfies the first condition, the inequality $f(n) \geq g(n) + 1 \geq A_n + 1$ (resp. $g(n) \leq f(n) - 1 \leq A_n - 1$) holds for every $n > N$, which contradicts that f (resp. g) satisfies the second condition. By changing the roles of f and g , we see that the second case is also impossible. In the third case, it holds that $f = g$ since $f(1) - g(1) = 0$ by the third condition. Thus, we obtain the conclusion. $\square$

Definition 3.3. When the Puiseux polynomial f in Lemma 3.2 exists, we call the unique Puiseux polynomial f the *ceiling* (resp. *floor*) *Puiseux polynomial* of $\mathbf{A}$.

If there exists a polynomial with integral coefficients satisfying the conditions in Lemma 2.4, then it satisfies the conditions in Lemma 3.2. In this sense, the Puiseux polynomial in Lemma 3.2 is a generalization of the polynomials with integral coefficients in Lemma 2.4, which contain polynomials which have been studied in the context of absolute zeta functions (e.g., [7, 8, 19]).

As we mentioned after Theorem 2.11, we can expect a more simple ceiling Puiseux polynomial if the information on pathological prime numbers is excluded. Hence, it is fair to define a ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{Q}$ (and more generally a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$) as follows.

Definition 3.4. Let X be a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that there exists a Puiseux polynomial f satisfying the following condition: for any separated scheme $\mathcal{X}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong X$, there exists a finite subset $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathbb{P}$ such that for any finite subset S of $\mathbb{P}$ containing $S_{\mathcal{X}}$, the Puiseux polynomial f is the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of $(\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q))_{q \in \mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}}$. Then, we call f the *ceiling* (resp. *floor*) *Puiseux polynomial* of X and denote it by $\mathfrak{C}_X$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}_X$).

The following facts are useful for verification of the uniqueness of the ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomials of X and their practical calculation.

Theorem 3.5 ([17, Theorems 4.12 and 4.13]). *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ and l be a prime number. Then, there exists a finite subset Σ of $\mathbb{P}$ (independent of l) such that for every $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus (\Sigma \cup \{l\})$ and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the following equality holds:*

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \dim \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}}} (-1)^i \operatorname{Tr}(\sigma_p^{-m} \mid H_c^i(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)),$$

where σ_p is the p -th power Frobenius automorphism in $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$, which acts on $H_c^i(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$ via the specialization map $H_c^i(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}}, \mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_c^i(\mathcal{X}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \mathbb{Q}_l)$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}$ be separated schemes of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathcal{Y}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, there exists a finite subset Σ' of $\mathbb{P}$ such that the following equality holds for every $q \in \mathbb{P}_{\Sigma'}^{\mathbb{N}}$:*

$$\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#\mathcal{Y}(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

In particular, in the setting of Definition 3.4, if f is the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of $(\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q))_{q \in \mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}}$ for some $\mathcal{X}$ and for some $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ with an arbitrary $S \supset S_{\mathcal{X}}$, then it coincides with the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of X .

According to this corollary, it is sufficient to verify the condition in Definition 3.4 not for all $\mathcal{X}$ but for a single $\mathcal{X}$. Moreover, the ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomials for such $\mathcal{X}$ are unique respectively if they exist. Using this fact, we obtain the ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomials for the generic fibers of specific schemes which appeared in §2 as follows.

Example 3.7 (cf. [7, Proposition 4.3]). Let X be a monoid scheme of finite type such that $X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is separated. Thus, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} T_x(t-1)^{r_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = \sum_{x \in X} T_{x, \{2\}}(t-1)^{r_x}$$

by Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 3.6. Indeed, it is sufficient to take $\mathcal{X} = X_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{2\}$. In particular, it holds that $\mathfrak{C}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}} = \mathfrak{F}_{X_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ if and only if the torsion subgroup of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^{\times}$ is 2-torsion for all $x \in X$.

Example 3.8. Put $X = \mathcal{A}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}$. By Theorem 2.9 and Corollary 3.6, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{A}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = t - n.$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to take $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{A}_n$ and $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ as the set of prime numbers less than n .

Example 3.9. Put $X = \mathcal{G}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}$. By Theorem 2.10 and Corollary 3.6, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = t - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{G}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}}(t) = t - n.$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to take $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{G}_n$ and $S_{\mathcal{X}} = \{2\}$. In particular, it holds that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{G}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{G}_{n,\mathbb{Q}}}$ if and only if $n = 2$.

Example 3.10. Put $X = \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta}$. By Theorem 2.11 and Corollary 3.6, it holds that

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta}}(t) = \begin{cases} t - 1 & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is a square,} \\ t + 1 & \text{if } \Delta \text{ is not a square,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta}}(t) = t - 1.$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to take $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{C}^{\Delta}$ and $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ as the set of prime numbers dividing 2Δ . In particular, it holds that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta}} = \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta}}$ if and only if Δ is square, which is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta} \cong \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{Q}}$. Note that even if Δ is not a square, the scalar extension (base change) $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}^{\Delta} \otimes \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ can be identified with the $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta})$ -lift of the monoid scheme $\mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{F}_1}$.

3.2. Ceiling/Floor Puiseux polynomial of a projective curve and its maximal/minimal reduction. Let C be a smooth proper curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ which is geometrically irreducible of genus $g > 0$. Then, by the spreading out principle (see [16, Theorem 3.2.1]), there exist a finite subset S_C of $\mathbb{P}$ and a smooth proper scheme $\mathcal{C}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}[S_C^{-1}]$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong C$.

For $q = p^m \in \mathbb{P}_{S_C}^{\mathbb{N}}$, the Hasse-Weil bound (see [17, §4.7.2.2]) implies that

$$q - 2g\sqrt{q} + 1 \leq \#\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_q) \leq q + 2g\sqrt{q} + 1.$$

The closed fiber $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ is called $\mathbb{F}_q$ -maximal (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$ -minimal) if $\#\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ attains the Hasse-Weil upper (resp. lower) bound, i.e.,

$$\#\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q + 2g\sqrt{q} + 1 \quad (\text{resp. } \#\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q - 2g\sqrt{q} + 1).$$

In view of the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial, we are interested in the distribution of the prime powers q for which $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $\mathbb{F}_q$ -maximal (resp. $\mathbb{F}_q$ -minimal). By the definition of the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of C , we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that there exist infinitely many prime numbers $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S_C$ for which $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ -maximal (resp. $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ -minimal) for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, it holds that*

$$\mathfrak{C}_C(t) = t + 2gt^{1/2} + 1 \quad (\text{resp. } \mathfrak{F}_C(t) = t - 2gt^{1/2} + 1).$$

3.3. Ceiling/Floor Puiseux polynomial of an elliptic curve. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Like §3.2, there exist a finite subset S_E of $\mathbb{P}$ and an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[S_E^{-1}]$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong E$. Then, the following fact is known concerning a supersingular elliptic curve.

Lemma 3.12 ([18, p. 155]). *Suppose that $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus (S_E \cup \{2, 3\})$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is supersingular, i.e., $\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1$.
- (2) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4k-2}}$ -maximal and $\mathbb{F}_{p^{4k}}$ -minimal for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{4k-2}}) = p^{4k-2} + 2p^{2k-1} + 1$ and $\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^{4k}}) = p^{4k} - 2p^{2k} + 1$.
- (3) $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}$ -maximal.
- (4) It holds that

$$Z(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}, T) := \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m})}{m} T^m \right) = \frac{1 + pT^2}{(1-T)(1-pT)}.$$

Proof. Let α be an eigenvalue of the p -th power Frobenius endomorphism on the Tate module of E . Then, it holds that

$$(\star) \quad \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_{p^m}) = 1 - \left(\alpha^m + \frac{p^m}{\alpha^m} \right) + p^m$$

for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (see e.g. [18, Theorem 2.3.1]). In particular, by specializing it to $m = 1$, the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow \alpha^2 = -p$ follows. The equation $\alpha^2 = -p$ is equivalent to (2) and (3), respectively. Moreover, the equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) follows since

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}, T) &= \exp \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \left(\alpha^m + \frac{p^m}{\alpha^m} \right) + p^m \right) \frac{T^m}{m} \right) \\ &= \frac{(1 - \alpha T)(1 - \frac{p}{\alpha} T)}{(1 - T)(1 - pT)} = \frac{1 + (\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) - p - 1)T + pT^2}{(1 - T)(1 - pT)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.11 and Lemma 3.12 (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) lead us to the natural question whether there exist infinitely many prime numbers p such that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is supersingular. The answer is known to be affirmative due to Elkies as follows.

Theorem 3.13 ([9]). *Let E be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, there exist infinitely many prime numbers at which E has good supersingular reduction.*

Remark 3.14. In fact, Elkies [10] obtained a similar result for every elliptic curve over an arbitrary number field F (of finite degree) which has at least one field embedding $F \subset \mathbb{R}$.

As the consequence of Theorem 3.13 and Lemma 3.12 (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), we see that there exist infinitely many prime numbers $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S_E$ for each of which $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ -maximal for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, we can determine the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ as follows.

Corollary 3.15. *Let E be any elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, it holds that*

$$\mathfrak{C}_E(t) = t + 2t^{1/2} + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{F}_E(t) = t - 2t^{1/2} + 1.$$

Moreover, the absolute zeta functions of $\mathfrak{C}_E$ and $\mathfrak{F}_E$ are

$$\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_E}(s) = \frac{1}{s \left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 (s - 1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_E}(s) = \frac{\left(s - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2}{s(s - 1)},$$

respectively.

Remark 3.16. If X is a monoid scheme of finite type whose $\mathbb{Z}$ -lift is a smooth projective variety, then Deitmar, Koyama and Kurokawa deduced the equality

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_1) = N_{X_{\mathbb{Z}}}(1) = \chi_{\text{top}}(X_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{C}))$$

from the Weil conjecture for $X_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ (cf. the proof of [8, Theorem 2.1]). In fact, we could formally obtain the similar equation

$$“\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_1)” = 0 = \chi_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{C}))$$

if we substituted $m = 0$ in the equation $(\star)$ in the proof of Lemma 3.12, which is the consequence of the Weil conjecture for $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Moreover, the Puiseux polynomial $\mathfrak{F}_E$ satisfies that

$$\mathfrak{F}_E(1) = \chi_{\text{top}}(E(\mathbb{C})) = \chi_{\text{top}}(S^1 \times S^1).$$

These observations are all consistent with the philosophy that the number of “the $\mathbb{F}_1$ -rational points” of a scheme and the value at 1 of the original function f of the absolute zeta function ζ_f associated with it coincide with its Euler characteristic (cf. [19, Théorème 2], [14, Remark 2], [7, p. 141]). On the other hand, the Puiseux polynomial $\mathfrak{C}_E$ is not consistent with the above philosophy. In this view, it is fair to say that $\zeta_{\mathfrak{F}_E}$ is better than $\zeta_{\mathfrak{C}_E}$.

Remark 3.17. According to [2], for any pair of elliptic curves E_1, E_2 over a number field K , there are infinitely many prime ideals of K at which the reductions of E_1 and E_2 are geometrically isogenous. This might suggest that all elliptic curves over K are “geometrically isogenous over $\mathbb{F}_1$ ” in some sense. On the other hand, if $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then Corollary 3.15 shows that both $\mathfrak{C}_E$ and $\mathfrak{F}_E$ are determined purely in terms of the Betti numbers of the topological 2-dimensional torus $S^1 \times S^1$. In particular, they are independent of the isogeny class of E . This might even suggest that all elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$ are “isogenous over $\mathbb{F}_1$ ” at least in view of Tate’s isogeny theorem over $\mathbb{F}_p$ (see e.g. [18, III.7.7]).

APPENDIX A. CEILING/FLOOR PUISEUX POLYNOMIAL OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE IN THE CASE OF $\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{P} \setminus S$

Let S be a finite subset of $\mathbb{P}$. In this appendix, we discuss the ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomials of the sequence $(\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p))_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S}$ instead of the sequence $(\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_q))_{q \in \mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}}$ in §3. As a result, in the case of elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with complex multiplication, we obtain the same Puiseux polynomial as its ceiling and floor Puiseux polynomial.

Definition A.1. Let X be a separated scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}$. Assume that there exists a Puiseux polynomial f satisfying the following condition: for any separated scheme $\mathcal{X}$ of finite type over $\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong X$, there exists a finite subset $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathbb{P}$ such that for any finite subset S of $\mathbb{P}$ containing $S_{\mathcal{X}}$, the Puiseux polynomial f is the ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of $(\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_p))_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S}$. Then, we call f the *prime ceiling* (resp. *floor*) *Puiseux polynomial* of X and denote it by $\mathfrak{C}'_X$ (resp. $\mathfrak{F}'_X$).

Remark A.2. Comparing it with Definition 3.4, the first condition in Lemma 3.2 gets weaker and the second one gets stronger for $\mathbf{A} = (\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_p))_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S}$ than for $\mathbf{A} = (\#\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{F}_q))_{q \in \mathbb{P}_S^{\mathbb{N}}}$.

Let E be an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. As mentioned in §3.3, there exist a finite subset S_E of $\mathbb{P}$ and an elliptic curve $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[S_E^{-1}]$ such that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong E$. Then, for $p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus S_E$, the Hasse bound implies that

$$p + 1 - 2\sqrt{p} < \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) < p + 1 + 2\sqrt{p}.$$

Then, p is called a *champion* (resp. *trailing*) prime if the equality

$$\#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 + \lfloor 2\sqrt{p} \rfloor \quad (\text{resp. } \#\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{F}_p) = p + 1 - \lfloor 2\sqrt{p} \rfloor)$$

holds [11]. Let π_E^+ (resp. π_E^-) be the set of champion (resp. trailing) prime numbers for E and $\pi_E^{\pm}(x) := \pi_E^{\pm} \cap (0, x]$ for every $x \in (0, \infty)$. Then, the following is obvious:

Proposition A.3 (cf. Proposition 3.11). *Assume that $\#\pi_E^{\pm} = \infty$, then it holds that $\mathfrak{C}'_E = \mathfrak{C}_E$ and $\mathfrak{F}'_E = \mathfrak{F}_E$.*

For a CM elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$, the following fact on $\pi_E^{\pm}(x)$ is known.

Theorem A.4 ([11, Theorem 1]). *Suppose that E has complex multiplication over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then, the following asymptotic relation holds:*

$$\pi_E^{\pm}(x) \sim \frac{2}{3\pi} \cdot \frac{x^{3/4}}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty).$$

In particular, it holds that $\#\pi_E^{\pm} = \infty$.

According to Theorem A.4, the prime ceiling (resp. floor) Puiseux polynomial of a CM elliptic curve coincides with the Puiseux polynomial in Proposition A.3. On the other hand, for an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ without complex multiplication, it is conjectured in [12, Conjecture 2.3] that

$$\pi_E^{\pm}(x) \sim c_E \cdot \frac{x^{1/4}}{\log x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

where $c_E \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant. Currently, the above estimate of $\pi_E^{\pm}(x)$ in the case where E is a non-CM elliptic curve is verified only under some assumptions such as the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (cf. [5]).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Professors Kenichi Bannai, Takeshi Katsura, Masato Kurihara and Taka-aki Tanaka for carefully checking the manuscript of this article and giving many helpful comments. The authors also thank the referee for valuable comments concerning the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Barnet-Lamb, D. Geraghty, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, *A family of Calabi-Yau varieties and potential automorphy II*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **47** (2011), no. 1, 29–98.
- [2] F. Charles, *Exceptional isogenies between reductions of pairs of elliptic curves*, Duke Math. J. **167** (2018), no. 11, 2039–2072. MR3843371
- [3] L. Clozel, M. Harris, and R. Taylor, *Automorphy for some l -adic lifts of automorphic mod l Galois representations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **108** (2008), 1–181.
- [4] A. Connes and C. Consani, *Schemes over $\mathbb{F}_1$ and zeta functions*, Compos. Math. **146** (2010), no. 6, 1383–1415.
- [5] C. David, A. Gafni, A. Malik, N. Prabhu, and C. Turnage-Butterbaugh, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves without complex multiplication*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 3, 929–943.

- [6] A. Deitmar, *Schemes over $\mathbb{F}_1$* , Number fields and function fields—two parallel worlds, 2005, pp. 87–100.
- [7] ———, *Remarks on zeta functions and K -theory over $\mathbb{F}_1$* , Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **82** (2006), no. 8, 141–146.
- [8] A. Deitmar, S. Koyama, and N. Kurokawa, *Counting and zeta functions over $\mathbb{F}_1$* , Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. **85** (2015), no. 1, 59–71.
- [9] N. D. Elkies, *The existence of infinitely many supersingular primes for every elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$* , Invent. Math. **89** (1987), no. 3, 561–567.
- [10] ———, *Supersingular primes for elliptic curves over real number fields*, Compositio Math. **72** (1989), no. 2, 165–172. MR1030140
- [11] K. James and P. Pollack, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves with complex multiplication*, J. Number Theory **172** (2017), 383–391.
- [12] K. James, B. Tran, M.-T. Trinh, P. Wertheimer, and D. Zantout, *Extremal primes for elliptic curves*, J. Number Theory **164** (2016), 282–298.
- [13] N. Kurokawa, *Multiple Zeta Functions: An Example*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **21** (1992), 219–226.
- [14] ———, *Zeta functions over $\mathbb{F}_1$* , Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **81** (2005), no. 10, 180–184.
- [15] N. Kurokawa and H. Ochiai, *Dualities for absolute zeta functions and multiple gamma functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **89** (2013), no. 7, 75–79.
- [16] B. Poonen, *Rational points on varieties*, Graduate studies in mathematics, vol. 186, American Mathematical Society, 2017.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, *Lectures on $N_X(p)$* , Chapman & Hall/CRC Res. Notes Math., vol. 11, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla, 2012.
- [18] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 106, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009.
- [19] C. Soulé, *Les Variétés sur le Corps à un Élément*, Mosc. Math. J. **4** (2004), no. 1, 217–244.

(Yoshinosuke Hirakawa) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCES AND TECHNOLOGY FOR INNOVATION,
YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY, 1677-1, YOSHIDA, YAMAGUCHI, JAPAN

Email address: yhirakawa@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp, hirakawa@keio.jp

(Takuki Tomita) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO
UNIVERSITY, 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOUHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, 223-8522, JAPAN

Email address: takuki@keio.jp